### BEFORE THE

#### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

:

IN THE MATTER OF: : MB Docket No.

: 14-82

PATRICK SULLIVAN

(Assignor) : FRN 0003749041,

: 0006119796,

and : 0006149843,

: 0017196064

LAKE BROADCASTING, INC.

(Assignee) : Facility ID No.

: 146162

Application for Consent to :

Assignment of License of FM : File No. BALFT-

Translator Station W238CE, : 20120523ABY

Montgomery, Alabama

.

Volume 6

Thursday, May 4, 2017

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Hearing Room A Washington, D.C. 20554

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 9:30 a.m.

## BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE RICHARD L. SIPPEL, Chief Administrative Law Judge

### APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of Patrick Sullivan and Lake Broadcasting, Inc.:

JEROLD L. JACOBS, ESQ.

of: Law Offices of Jerold L. Jacobs

1629 K Street, NW

Suite 300

Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 508-3383 (202) 331-3759 fax

jerold.jacobs.esq@verizon.net

On Behalf of The Federal Communications Commission:

WILLIAM KNOWLES-KELLETT, ESQ.

GARY A. OSHINSKY, ESQ.

of: Federal Communications Commission

Enforcement Bureau

Investigations & Hearings Division

445 12th Street, SW Washington, D.C. 20554

(202) 418-1420 wkellett@fcc.gov

### ALSO PRESENT:

RACHEL FUNK, Clerk PAMELA S. KANE, FCC

# CONTENTS

WITNE	<u>ESS</u>	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROS	<u>S</u>
	Ann Duncan-Hively Le Gremminger	448	386 450	521	528	
EXHIE	EXHIBIT NO. DOCUMENT MARK RECD				RECD	
<u>Lake</u>						
3	Direct case for Dr. Ann Duncan-Hively				360	360
3A	Dr. Duncan Hively's professional backgrou	ınd			360	360
3B	Psychological evaluated of Mr. Rice conducted and Duncan-Hively in	d by Drs	. Hive	ly	360	360
3C	Psychological evaluat of Ms. Rice conducted and Duncan-Hively in	d by Drs	. Hive	ly	360	360
EB						
11	Abuse Prevention Proc of the Center for Conflict Resolution	gram			535	535
12	Missouri Sex Offender Notification for Mr.				521	522

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(9:37 a.m.)
3	WHEREUPON
4	DR. ANN DUNCAN-HIVELY
5	was called as a witness by counsel for the assignor/assignee,
6	and having first been duly sworn, was examined as testified
7	as follows:
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: And what is it, Mr. Jacobs? You've
9	got a preliminary matter.
10	MR. JACOBS: At the conclusion of yesterday's
11	hearing session, I was directing questions to Mr. Michael Rice
12	about his translator station. And we were kind of hurried,
13	and I didn't have an opportunity to let him fully explain what
14	his station is all about. And the relevance is that it has
15	come up several times in yesterday's testimony that there were
16	activities going on around his station, so I wanted to focus
17	on the translator station which
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'll let you call him as I'll let
19	you put him back on the stand for redirect and then cross-
20	examination.
21	MR. OSHINSKY: Your Honor, if I could just note
22	that Mr. Jacobs was asking questions after the cross.
23	Obviously, it was redirect. Nothing in the cross concerned
24	the station that at issue in the assignment. So, if he's

talking about --

25

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: I think you're right. No, you're
2	absolutely well, he did touch on it, I think. Go ahead.
3	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I also believe, Your Honor,
4	that what's at issue here is whether Mr. Jacobs whether
5	Lake Broadcasting is qualified to be a Commission licensee.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's true.
7	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: And I don't think that it
8	really matters that this is a translator station. If he's
9	found qualified, he could turn around and get any broadcast
10	station he wants.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: I realize that, but it's I don't
12	think it's going to take too long. I like the
13	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: I like the party that has the burden
15	of proof to put their case on, unless there's a strong reason
16	why not any confusion. And I don't think we're going to
17	have that problem here.
18	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Fair enough.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: So are you ready for your next
20	witness?
21	MR. JACOBS: Yes, Your Honor.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Please proceed.
23	MR. JACOBS: Our next witness is Dr. Ann Duncan-
24	Hively, and her direct case exhibit is found in our exhibit
25	book, Exhibit 3. And it has within it three parts. First,

1	her background, Appendix A. The second, a psychological
2	evaluation that she and Dr
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Jacobs, hold on just a the
4	witness is
5	THE WITNESS: I need to get my glasses.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, that's okay.
7	THE WITNESS: Please?
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Sure.
9	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you have to go over to the
11	Mandarin to get them?
12	THE WITNESS: Not quite, no. It's closer.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm sorry.
14	THE WITNESS: My apologies.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: We're still on the record. You can
16	finish.
17	MR. JACOBS: And Appendix B is the psychological
18	evaluation that she and Dr. Hively prepared.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's in 1991?
20	MR. JACOBS: Yes, the 1991 report. And Appendix
21	C is the 2014 psychological report that she and Dr. Hively
22	prepared. And I'd like to move those Appendices and her
23	direct case statement into evidence.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: Any objection?
25	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: No, Your Honor.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: No objection, the document as
2	identified the exhibits as identified are marked for
3	identification and are received into evidence at this time.
4	(Whereupon, the above-referred to document was
5	marked as Lake Exhibits 3, 3A, 3B, and 3C for identification.)
6	(Whereupon, the above-referred to document was
7	received into evidence as Lake Exhibits 3, 3A, 3B, and 3C.)
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Is it Ms Dr. Duncan-Hively?
9	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's okay.
11	THE WITNESS: You can shorten it up to just Dr.
12	Duncan.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, thank you.
14	THE WITNESS: Whatever works.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: At my advanced age, if I have to
16	I'm still having trouble with Mr. Knowles-Kellett. It's been
17	20 years I think.
18	I'm sorry. Did you prepare this document yourself?
19	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Nobody helped you at all?
21	THE WITNESS: Oh, no. It was in collaboration with
22	Dr. Hively, my husband, and
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: Dr. Hively is in the court or was
24	in the court?
25	THE WITNESS: Yes. He's sitting to the right of

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, yes. I see him.
2	THE WITNESS: Mr. Jacobs. And with Mr. Jacobs's
3	assistance.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Whose typewriter did you use?
5	THE WITNESS: It looks like mine.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. And you are would you just
7	briefly restate your qualifications? PhD, clinical
8	psychologist, and you're a lawyer as well?
9	THE WITNESS: I have a law degree. I'm not sure
10	that makes me a lawyer, but I have a law degree.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: I've got some things to say about
12	that, but I don't think I can say it. Not about you
1.0	
13	personally.
13	THE WITNESS: I understand.
14	THE WITNESS: I understand.
14 15	THE WITNESS: I understand.  JUDGE SIPPEL: Not about you personally.
14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: I understand.  JUDGE SIPPEL: Not about you personally.  THE WITNESS: Briefly?
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	THE WITNESS: I understand.  JUDGE SIPPEL: Not about you personally.  THE WITNESS: Briefly?  JUDGE SIPPEL: Yeah, sure. Please, go ahead.
14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: I understand.  JUDGE SIPPEL: Not about you personally.  THE WITNESS: Briefly?  JUDGE SIPPEL: Yeah, sure. Please, go ahead.  Please explain. What year did you graduate?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: I understand.  JUDGE SIPPEL: Not about you personally.  THE WITNESS: Briefly?  JUDGE SIPPEL: Yeah, sure. Please, go ahead.  Please explain. What year did you graduate?  THE WITNESS: Which one? '61 for college, '64 for
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: I understand.  JUDGE SIPPEL: Not about you personally.  THE WITNESS: Briefly?  JUDGE SIPPEL: Yeah, sure. Please, go ahead.  Please explain. What year did you graduate?  THE WITNESS: Which one? '61 for college, '64 for master's, '67 for a PhD. Then postdoc '68, and then professor
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: I understand.  JUDGE SIPPEL: Not about you personally.  THE WITNESS: Briefly?  JUDGE SIPPEL: Yeah, sure. Please, go ahead.  Please explain. What year did you graduate?  THE WITNESS: Which one? '61 for college, '64 for master's, '67 for a PhD. Then postdoc '68, and then professor at Yeshiva University in New York City. Then the JD came from
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: I understand.  JUDGE SIPPEL: Not about you personally.  THE WITNESS: Briefly?  JUDGE SIPPEL: Yeah, sure. Please, go ahead.  Please explain. What year did you graduate?  THE WITNESS: Which one? '61 for college, '64 for master's, '67 for a PhD. Then postdoc '68, and then professor at Yeshiva University in New York City. Then the JD came from the University of New Hampshire, previously known as Franklin

1	time to go to law school.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: In New Hampshire?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, you certainly wouldn't have
5	had enough time in New York.
6	THE WITNESS: No.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Two different places.
8	THE WITNESS: Exactly. Two different worlds.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Let's just take our places. But
10	what prompted you to go to law school?
11	THE WITNESS: Well, we were running a treatment
12	center for disturbed children, sort of if you were in
13	Massachusetts and you were hard to handle and impossible to
14	handle, then Child and Family Services would send them to us
15	in New Hampshire. So we have 85 seriously-disturbed boys and
16	an autistic a unit for autistic children.
17	And I couldn't figure out why the law legal
18	system had so much power. So I ran into an attorney who had
19	been with the Southern Poverty Law Center, a constitutional
20	law professor named R.P. Sanders. And I was so taken by the
21	idea that it was understandable that I went back to law
22	school. Our youngest was three, and my husband turned into
23	
	chief cook and bottle washer.

one week later, he put me in a moving van and moved me to St.

25

Т	Louis. And we've been in St. Louis ever since.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, what prompted you to leave New
3	Hampshire and your 85 kids?
4	THE WITNESS: He was he took the job as director
5	of research for one of the educational think tanks that had
6	been constructed. There were five in the country, Central
7	Educational Midwestern Regional Laboratory. He was the
8	director of research, and he wanted to do the thing that he
9	loved the most, which was to try to figure out how to help
LO	kids learn. So we moved to St. Louis, and we've been there
L1	ever since.
L2	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm sorry. What was that date
L3	again?
L4	THE WITNESS: '81.
L5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
L6	THE WITNESS: We were in New Hampshire from '72 to
L7	'81.
L8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Got it, okay. Now was your practice
L9	if I can call it that, was it limited to boys?
20	THE WITNESS: In the treatment center, yes.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Why is that?
22	THE WITNESS: Because putting very disturbed girls
23	and very disturbed boys together is not a good combination.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, but why not take a class of
25	boys and a class of girls separate?

1	THE WITNESS: Because it was residential, and so
2	we
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, I see.
4	THE WITNESS: had a full 24/7 program, which was
5	in the sleeping facility as well as in the educational
6	facility.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: I've heard that there are some
8	esteemed universities that have that arrangement with men and
9	women on the same floor.
10	THE WITNESS: We weren't
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: And they do have their problems.
12	I guess
13	THE WITNESS: We weren't able to do that.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: And they were supposedly normal
15	kids, and even they have a hard time hacking it.
16	THE WITNESS: Right. And so these were seriously-
17	disturbed in order for the State of Massachusetts, Rhode
18	Island, Connecticut to pay the tuition for the child to come,
19	they had to meet the criteria of very serious disturbance.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: And what would that include? What
21	would be a very serious disturbance?
22	THE WITNESS: Well, actually, a broad range. We
23	had children who had psychotic episodes. We had children who
24	had particular fetishes. We had to it's okay?
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yeah, sure.

1	THE WITNESS: So we had children who were very
2	anxious and who had developed ritualized behaviors to manage
3	their anxiety. We had hyperactive, learning-disabled, and
4	autistic. So we had sort of a fairly broad range.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Were any of the children molested?
6	THE WITNESS: The statistics are one out of three
7	children are molested below the age of 18 if you're a woman,
8	and one out of five men are molested below the age of 18.
9	That's Finkelhor's research out of the University of New
10	Hampshire.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Well, I don't know Mr.
12	Finkelhor but
13	THE WITNESS: It's an enormous number.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: But just let me get formulate a
15	question here.
16	Do you when you get the paperwork with the
17	patient coming in, is there some kind of a background done on
18	him before
19	THE WITNESS: Oh, certainly. No, we've
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Does any of them come up with
21	molestation?
22	THE WITNESS: Of course. A large majority of them.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. A large majority of them?
24	THE WITNESS: Well, if it's one out of five and
25	JUDGE SIDDEL. Ves

1	THE WITNESS: we had 85 boys, then you'd have
2	to think of proportionately, it was a fairly large number.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Unless you happen to have a very
4	unusual class that fell in the cracks somehow, that you didn't
5	get the one in five there; you got the one in 100, and
6	that's
7	THE WITNESS: Right. And the ones who were
8	psychotic
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Too complicated for me. Pardon me?
10	THE WITNESS: But the ones who were psychotic were
11	more often than not not molested.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you have any idea why that is,
13	just your own thoughts on that?
14	THE WITNESS: Well, since my specialty is children
15	and child trauma, I'll give you a speculation. In order for
16	the molestation to occur, there needs to be a relationship.
17	And, in order to have a relationship, you have to be capable
18	of forming one. And some of our children in treatment did not
19	have that capability.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: So it's kind of a defense mechanism
21	
22	THE WITNESS: Well, in
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: from being molester?
24	THE WITNESS: No, actually, it's really complicated
25	because it has to do with the issue of attachment.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: I understand that.
2	THE WITNESS: Okay. And whether or not, during the
3	process of attachment, in the primary family structure, the
4	child is insulated, if you will, by having adequate emotional
5	feeding by the parents. In the absence of that, they're
6	vulnerable, and they're vulnerable for a variety of reasons.
7	Often, we will see that the molestation happens to the
8	vulnerable children who are looking for a relationship in lieu
9	of what they didn't have when they were growing up.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: And this is what the average
11	molester, if there is such a thing as that, he's able to spot
12	that; isn't that correct?
13	THE WITNESS: Actually, average molesters don't
14	exist. If you no, seriously
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Correct me. That's okay. Go on.
16	THE WITNESS: Ken Lanning worked for the FBI for
17	25 years, and he wrote probably the definitive book about
18	predators and child molesters. And fall into discrete
19	categories in terms of their personality structure. So we
20	don't have an average. We have Ken Lanning talks about six
21	different major types.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: What I was thinking of I mean,
23	I've seen both the recent horror stories written in the
24	newspapers about the clergy, for example.
25	THE WITNESS: Yes, case in point.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: They seem to know their target.
2	They know of course, they know they have the history of
3	the child in the broad sense of the word. They know and
4	they've got somewhat but they don't got it if they don't
5	know the family that the person that he comes from.
6	THE WITNESS: Well, let me take exception to the
7	word target. What happens is they form a relationship. Let's
8	take the Catholic priest down the block. They form a
9	relationship. In the absence of a relationship in the child's
10	history, they attach to the male figure who's got power and
11	authority and righteousness, and all of the things that go
12	with being a priest. And they transfer their emotions to the
13	priest.
14	It isn't that the priest says, oh, there's a
15	vulnerable one. I'll go after him. It typically doesn't work
16	like that because
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: The kid comes to him.
18	THE WITNESS: The kid comes to him, exactly.
19	Exactly, yeah.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's very interesting.
21	THE WITNESS: There are predators. I mean, you
22	know, don't get me wrong. There are predators out there, but
23	85 percent of abuse happens within the family or a known
24	family member. The guy with the trench coat on the playground
25	doesn't really exist. So it isn't that there's a predator

1	floating around. It's there are social systems that fail
2	these children.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: And I'm just trying to be sure I
4	follow exactly what you're saying, but okay. Let me move
5	it closer to this case.
6	The kids that came to Mr. Rice
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: would they be in can you
9	categorize them in some way even if I mean, you didn't
10	examine them so don't really know. What do you think the
11	typical kid was that came to Mr. Rice, the same type of person
12	as with the priest? Did he
13	THE WITNESS: Yes, basically.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Basically, a family it all starts
15	in the family.
16	THE WITNESS: It does. And it starts with a
17	disruption with the attachment process. And there's also this
18	incredible curiosity that happens with the lens of hormones
19	that hit, with men trying to figure out what is that thing and
20	why does it do this thing, and why does it stick up like that
21	and what do I do, and can I touch it, and is it really okay
22	to do that?
23	So we have uninstructed young boys, particularly
24	early adolescence, who are looking for some kind of
25	  instructional event, and they more often than not

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: They like instruction.
2	THE WITNESS: find it among themselves rather
3	than among the girls.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you have any particular age
5	you're talking about?
6	THE WITNESS: Well, you and I are of a similar age,
7	sir, so we have to do statistics then and statistics now. The
8	average age of intercourse for girls is 12 today.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: In the States?
10	THE WITNESS: 12.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: In the United States?
12	THE WITNESS: In the United States. Menarche, the
13	onset of periods, is happening younger and younger. At age
14	nine, for example, we're getting girls starting periods. So
15	this wave of hormonal investigation that used to be in high
16	school has now moved down into middle school.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Or junior high.
18	THE WITNESS: Junior high, right.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Middle school.
20	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Let me well, if
22	that's the case, as you said that, normally, this searching
23	for answers routine starts among boys and not girls.
24	THE WITNESS: Correct. That's correct.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: And yet, if the girls are getting

1	more available as they get younger, is there any noticeable
2	trend in the obvious direction?
3	THE WITNESS: At the risk of shocking you, my
4	practice consists of girls who provide blow jobs in the school
5	lavatory for not much compensation, and they're six, seventh,
6	and eighth graders. And, when I inquire to the boy who's the
7	recipient of that about the relationship, he said, oh, no.
8	We can't have sex. We don't know each other well enough yet.
9	So, in this
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, that's the whole thing that
11	is surprising because we know we had a president with that
12	same
13	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: answer to the situation.
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. So we do have this
16	cultural shift that's happening, where and sex is being
17	viewed perhaps much differently than for other generations
18	like yours or mine.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: This is
20	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Did I overload you?
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, no, you haven't. I just want
22	to I don't want to get too carried away with this, but I'm
23	trying to relate everything you're saying to the universe of
24	the young boys that Mr. Rice, his associations with.
25	THE WITNESS: He molested them, yes.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, he did.
2	THE WITNESS: He did, yes.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: But were they coming to him as with
4	the priest analogy or was he a predator? Was he a I don't
5	want to say was he technically a predator but was he the first
6	mover?
7	THE WITNESS: I would suspect, based on the
8	information that I have available, which was testing him in
9	'91, that he was about 13 himself, that his emotional distress
10	was such that his judgment was left at the door. And he was
11	literally regressed into being one of the boys. And I think
12	that they did the thing that boys have done all of their
13	lives, circle jerks, how far can you pee, did you come farther
14	than I did, all of those male things that happened for years.
15	Usually, it was behind the barn, but now it's in the bathroom
16	at the school.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, boys are inclined to compete
18	at anything.
19	THE WITNESS: Exactly.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Anything at all.
21	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Yes. It might be genetic.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yeah.
23	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I answered your question
24	sufficiently.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, you have. You have.

1	THE WITNESS: Okay.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm just trying to think. How would
3	you identify how would you define pedophilia?
4	THE WITNESS: The classic definition from DSM-5 and
5	quite nicely written by Dr. Weitl that you'll get to meet
6	tomorrow
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Is that the current book?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes, this is 5.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: The current DSM?
10	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Is a pervasive lifelong urge
11	to take sexual activity with young children, typically below
12	the age of 11. I can
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, that's okay. No, that's
14	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: I mean, counsel may want to ask you
16	these questions.
17	THE WITNESS: Sure.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: But I don't want to get I want
19	to just I'm skimming the surface here.
20	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Typically below the age of 11. Why
22	in layman's terms, why is there that cutoff?
23	THE WITNESS: The idea is that, at age 11, they
24	might be more willing and eager to initiate rather than be in
25	the standard victim posture.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: I just out of curiosity?
2	THE WITNESS: Partly, sure. If you have something
3	between your legs that you can't figure out what to do with
4	and you wake up with it in the morning, then you're very
5	curious about what else you should do with it.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, would that be equally a
7	characteristic of young girls?
8	THE WITNESS: No. Young girls are not allowed to
9	have that kind of emphasis on and their particular physical
10	construction doesn't equal the male construction.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: I know that, but do you think I
12	mean, let's take it within a family. There's two young
13	children, nine and 10, male and female. And so they're in a
14	situation where they're undressed, a normal situation. And
15	she's going to you know, what's that thing there
16	THE WITNESS: Right.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: and how come I don't have one?
18	THE WITNESS: And they would touch each other,
19	which is very common.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's where it stops?
21	THE WITNESS: Sometimes.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, yeah.
23	THE WITNESS: Sometimes. Or sometimes my area
24	of specialty is trauma, okay, and I work a lot with women
25	who've been sexually abused by their brothers or their fathers

1	or their grandfathers. And, by the time they get to me,
2	they're in mid-thirties, early forties. And it's been
3	bubbling along, and it pops up under a family crisis, and they
4	end up in my office. So it is a very common phenomenon that's
5	not talked about a lot.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Well, let's get back
7	down to the current case.
8	THE WITNESS: Sure.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Does Mr. Rice have any symptoms of
10	pedophilia?
11	THE WITNESS: Not now.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, I'm talking about then.
13	THE WITNESS: Then, he was emotionally disturbed.
14	Our testing showed that he was bipolar, unable to regulate the
15	shift between too much energy and not enough energy. The
16	metaphor maybe is you're in a car with an accelerator, and you
17	just push as far as you can. And that was exactly what he was
18	doing. Or then you take the accelerator off, and you put the
19	brake on, and you do into depression.
20	So he was cycling probably I think Dr. Stillings
21	estimated sometime about 10 years before, it got so bad that
22	he molested children.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: What would be the obligation of Dr.
24	Stillings or someone in your position to report that to the
25	authorities?

1	THE WITNESS: Oh, he was
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: This was going on.
3	THE WITNESS: No, he was.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: By who?
5	THE WITNESS: He got hotlined by the neighbor
6	parent, I believe, the boy's parent.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Right.
8	THE WITNESS: And Dr. Stillings
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: One of the
10	THE WITNESS: Right.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Dr. Stillings?
12	THE WITNESS: Right. Then Dr. Stillings got
13	involved with the case. He was diagnosed as having psychotic
14	features, so Dr. Stillings go in and then hospitalized him
15	immediately, and then brought us in to do an evaluation. So
16	this would have been '91 when we visited him in the hospital
17	and did an evaluation over I think we had four separate
18	days.
19	Dr. Stillings was trying to regulate the medication
20	to bring the psychosis under control.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: It can be done chemically.
22	THE WITNESS: It was. He was on lithium. He put
23	him on lithium, which was the drug of choice in '91.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: I think that comes from saltwater,

1	THE WITNESS: It does, yes. Good. And you have
2	to watch your liver.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: But, I mean, it's cheap to
4	manufacture.
5	THE WITNESS: And you have to regulate it really
6	carefully because it will destroy your liver.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Really?
8	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, let's not get into that.
LO	THE WITNESS: Okay.
L1	JUDGE SIPPEL: But, I mean, I'm interested in it.
L2	What did you say the name of this FBI person was?
L3	THE WITNESS: Lanning, L-A-N-N-I-N-G. If you go
L4	on our website, he's listed as a resource. Ken Lanning. I
L5	think it's something like 25 years of research.
L6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Let me take a look at that.
L7	THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's a good one to have on
L8	your shelf if you have another case like this.
L9	JUDGE SIPPEL: The lawyers would really question
20	me if I had that on my shelf.
21	Yeah. Your statement that he regressed to be one
22	of the boys, what caused that regression?
23	THE WITNESS: Mental illness.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: And which mental illness was that,

25 bipolar?

1	THE WITNESS: Bipolar.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Bipolar, is it generally, does that
3	do that?
4	THE WITNESS: It can under certain circumstances,
5	uh-huh. He was the perfect storm. He had failed
6	relationships. He was geeky from the beginning. One could
7	even say, if we had known enough back then, we probably would
8	have diagnosed him as Asperger's, high-functioning autism.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Is that what that is?
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, yeah. I knew there's a
12	relationship between autism and Asperger's, but the because
13	I'm related by marriage to somebody who has a son in that
14	situation. But what about the well, how does that
15	Asperger's, does that tie in with the with what was it now,
16	the this condition that you've pointed to with respect to
17	Mr. Rice, the bipolar?
18	THE WITNESS: Well, if you start with a basic
19	personality structure. You're a number one and only kid.
20	Your mother is diagnosed schizophrenic. Your father is cold
21	and distant. You have attachment difficulties. You're a
22	geek, and then you're fat, okay? Got the image? And you're
23	Asperger's, so you have very few social skills. And what
24	social skills you have you don't know how to use. You're

terribly awkward.

1	So his psychosocial development, starting probably
2	about 10, maybe 12 I think he was a late bloomer then
3	would mean that he would not go through the normal
4	developmental phases for developing heterosexual activity.
5	He was too shy. I think, in the report of Dr. Weitl's and
6	mine, I think he had one date in high school.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Was he is that the beginning of
8	being homosexual?
9	THE WITNESS: There is some possibility that he is,
10	in fact, homosexual. And, in that day and age, that was an
11	anathema.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, yes.
13	THE WITNESS: That was not allowed, either by his
14	mother, who was cold and distant, or by his father, who was
15	cold and distant. So there was no way for him to develop a
16	normal set of sexual activities. So he didn't.
17	And then he took his geekiness and developed the
18	skill of technology with the radio stations and with learning
19	how to work on making transmitters transmit and all of that
20	stuff I don't understand.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: But he must have had that inherent
22	skill.
23	THE WITNESS: Oh, he's bright. Oh, he's bright.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: But I mean, in that he would be
25	attracted to that whether he had this problem or not.

1	THE WITNESS: Well, I think he's attracted to IT
2	because it was safe. I mean, if you're working in IT, you
3	don't have to have a big set of social skills, you know. And,
4	if you are successful in figuring out how to get go back.
5	I mean, electronics back in those days, that was a real skill
6	set for being able to figure out how to get things
7	transmitted, right?
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: I will agree.
9	THE WITNESS: Back in the day. Back then.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yeah.
11	THE WITNESS: So what he got is
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Even now. Even now for me, beyond
13	turning a piece of equipment on is then it becomes
14	interesting.
15	THE WITNESS: At times. So we've got a guy who is
16	a single child who was raised in a difficult family
17	circumstance with lots of expectations for performance. He
18	has very few social skills. He's fat and doesn't have a group
19	of friends. Goes off to college and doesn't succeed. He's
20	terribly lonely. And I think his mental illness is beginning
21	to start at about that point. Early college days probably.
22	That might have contributed to the reason he dropped out of
23	the University of Missouri at the end of his freshman year.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: Was his family, his mother and
25	father, were they well off? I mean, was it

1	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah. Yes.	
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Does that usually play into it?	
3	THE WITNESS: Well, it gives him some insulation,	
4	gives him some protection so that he can buy the equipment	
5	that he needs to learn how to make the stuff work, because	
6	he's got	
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: And he wouldn't have to work for it.	
8	THE WITNESS: Exactly. Right. And they'd feel	
9	proud of him because he would being doing something that they	
10	could understand and feel good about.	
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: They were thinking, maybe we have	
12	a Bill Gates here.	
13	THE WITNESS: They probably felt that they had	
14	something of value. And then mom got ill and began to sort	
15	of lose it. And that, I think, was part of the	
16	destabilization that led him to use poor judgment and have sex	
17	with boys.	
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Was she under treatment?	
19	THE WITNESS: My understanding is she was.	
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Do you agree with Dr.	
21	Stilling's dissociation identity diagnosis?	
22	THE WITNESS: Partly yes and partly no. Our	
23	evaluation in '91 showed that he does have bipolar, and it can	
24	present as dissociative disorder also.	
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: Can you explain what that is?	

1	THE WITNESS: Sure. Dr. Stillings was of the
2	opinion that he had multiple personalities. That's the
3	dissociative disorder. And we didn't agree with him. We
4	didn't agree with Dr. Stillings. We didn't find evidence for
5	that in the testing.
6	Instead, what we found was hypomania, lots of
7	excessive verbalizations. I think, if you look at our '91
8	report, we put some examples of, during the testing, he would
9	go sideways off into a description. Oh, that looks like a
10	duck. Well, ducks quack. Well, maybe it's not a duck. Maybe
11	it's a turkey. Maybe and he would then associate, and
12	that's where the dissociation concept comes in.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: But you describe it as what? You
14	had some other name for it, what he was doing.
15	THE WITNESS: We didn't agree with Stillings's
16	diagnosis of multiple personality disorder. We didn't find
17	that.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: What did you think? You used the
19	word before.
20	THE WITNESS: We did the dissociative disorder and
21	bipolar.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
23	THE WITNESS: Right. Those were our diagnoses in
24	'91.
25	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: It might be helpful, Your

1	Honor, just to wait my questions lead her right through
2	that report, and you might get just what you want. Just
3	offering.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: I can take the hint.
5	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I don't run the show. You're
6	in charge.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, you're probably right.
8	Okay. Let me cut off there. Hold on just a second.
9	Can you tell me, are multiple personality disorder
LO	and bipolar equivalent or approximately equivalent or anything
L1	like that?
L2	THE WITNESS: No, sir. Let me clean up my
L3	testimony.
L4	We diagnosed Mr. Rice in '91 as dysthymic,
L5	depressed.
L6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. That
L7	THE WITNESS: Right.
L8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Dysthymic.
L9	THE WITNESS: That was the word I think you heard.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's right.
21	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Go ahead.
23	THE WITNESS: And a dissociative disorder NOS. In
24	other words, it didn't fall into the multiple personality
25	categories that Stillings wanted it to. Bipolar affective

1	disorder, mixed features, in remission, under medication, and
2	alcohol abuse. So those were our four diagnoses in '91.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: What was in remission?
4	THE WITNESS: The bipolar disorder was in remission
5	under medication.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, I got it.
7	THE WITNESS: That's why we tested him four
8	separate times, because we had him on meds. Then Dr.
9	Stillings took him off meds. We tested him. Dr. Stillings
10	put him back on meds, and we tested him. So we did an
11	empirical test on whether or not he was bipolar. And, because
12	of his reaction to the lithium, that was a confirmed
13	diagnosis.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm going to I'm going to let you
15	go in just a minute. What was
16	THE WITNESS: You should have four. Do you have
17	four?
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I had Stillings had multiple
19	personalities.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: And I got you as dysthymic
22	depression.
23	THE WITNESS: Dysthymic.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: Dysthymic?
25	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

	363
1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Depression.
2	THE WITNESS: Right.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: NOS. And we've got remission from
4	bipolar.
5	THE WITNESS: That's affective bipolar disorder,
6	AFF.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. This is probably on your
8	report?
9	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, okay.
11	THE WITNESS: Page 213.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's all right.
13	THE WITNESS: And don't forget alcohol abuse.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Right. That's right.
15	THE WITNESS: That's the one
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. I'm going to pass the baton
17	to Mr. Knowles-Kellett.
18	THE WITNESS: Okay.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: But thank you very much.
20	THE WITNESS: My pleasure, sir.
21	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay. There are two sets of
22	page numbers on the 1991 report. I would note for the record
23	the page she just referred to, 213, is also page 9, so that
24	the page 9 starts at the beginning of that report. 213
25	appears to be a number where this report was entered into

1	evidence so	omewhere else.
2		JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
3		THE WITNESS: Correct. Our page number of our
4	report was	page 9. Thank you.
5		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay.
6		JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I've got here page 205.
7		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Do you see another turn
8	to the sec	cond page, Your Honor, and you'll see there's a
9	second set	of numbering up at the top of the pages.
10		JUDGE SIPPEL: Page 2.
11		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay. Page 1, they didn't
12	put a numbe	er on.
13		JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
14		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: So, yeah.
15		JUDGE SIPPEL: I can usually figure that out. Some
16	people don	t.
17		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I can't figure out how to
18	make it go	away a lot of days, Your Honor.
19		JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
20		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: If it looks bad, I have to
21	call the he	elp desk.
22	CROSS-EXAMI	INATION
23		BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
24	Q	Good morning, Dr. Duncan-Hively.
25	A	Good morning, sir.

25

1	Q You remember that I'm Bill Knowles-Kellett, and we
2	visited your offices in Missouri to take your deposition last
3	September?
4	A And we discussed that you're hyphenated and I'm
5	hyphenated, yes, we did.
6	Q Yeah. We have that special bond.
7	Okay. And I think, at that time, you noted that
8	you go professionally as Duncan-Hively most of the time now.
9	But, at times, you have gone by Dr. Duncan. So, if the
10	documents indicated Dr. Ann Duncan, that's you as well; is
11	that correct?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	Q Okay. And have a practice at 300 Chesterfield
14	Center, Chesterfield, Missouri?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay. And your partner is Dr. Wells-Hively?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And he's your husband?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And he helped prepared both reports in Lake Exhibit
21	Number 3?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Okay. And a specialty of your practice is trauma
24	and transition?
25	A Yes.

1	Q Okay. And many of your patients are referred from
2	pain management specialists and psychiatry attorneys and
3	physicians?
4	A Insurance companies, too.
5	Q Okay. Examples of this might include someone who
6	has a child who has a concussion playing sports, and you are
7	asked to determine whether they have post-traumatic stress
8	disorder or they simply had a concussion and accompanying
9	anxiety disorder. Is that a
LO	A We are we are
L1	Q That's like one of the examples of trauma?
L2	A asked to do evaluations or we're asked to
L3	perform treatment, yes.
L4	Q Okay. And another trauma situation might be that
L5	somebody falls off a a lineman falls off of an electric
L6	pole and he or she gets a concussion or other serious and
L7	you're asked to evaluate whether it's post-traumatic stress
L8	disorder?
L9	A That's correct.
20	Q And treat that person?
21	A Not necessarily. Usually, those are referrals
22	regarding liability issues, so we often don't treat those
23	people. We just do the evaluation.
24	Q Okay. And the transition part of your practice
25	very often refers to people who are undergoing big life

1	changes su	ch as a family going through a divorce?
2	A	Or death.
3	Q	Okay. And you work with any of the various
4	different :	family members on how they're surviving that event?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Okay. And you also have an area of your practice
7	that evalua	ates the competency of a person to stand trial?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. Let's turn to Lake Exhibit Number 3,
10	Appendix A	, if you would. That's your curriculum vitae, I
11	believe.	
12	A	All right.
13		JUDGE SIPPEL: That's
14		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Lake Exhibit Number 3,
15	Appendix A	•
16		JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, yes. I have it.
17		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: If I get to the wrong place,
18	Mr. Jacobs	, please let me know.
19		MR. JACOBS: Sure.
20		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay.
21		BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
22	Q	Okay. So you have a bachelor's degree from the
23	University	of Minnesota?
24	A	Yes.
25	0	A master's from the University of Minnesota?

		390
1	A	Yes.
2	Q	A PhD from the University of Kansas?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And a JD from Franklin Pierce Law School?
5	A	Correct, now known as the University of New
6	Hampshire.	
7	Q	Okay. And you're a trained mediator?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	You're licensed in New Hampshire, Missouri, and
10	registered	in Bermuda?
11	А	We were when we were employed in Bermuda.
12	Q	Okay. So you're no longer registered in Bermuda?
13	A	Correct.
14	Q	Okay. And I think you explained to the judge that
15	you were ir	New Hampshire from 1974 until 1981.
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And, there, you both had a practice, attended law
18	school, and	d clerked for a juvenile court judge?
19	A	I did, yes.
20	Q	Okay. And then you were in Bermuda for a number
21	of years; i	s that correct?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Oh, I'm sorry. You have a private practice in St.
24	Louis from	'81 until 1999?

Yes.

Α

1	Q	Okay. Following that, you went to Bermuda?
2	A	Correct.
3	Q	And you worked there both as a professor and worked
4	for the p	rosecution, which is referred to as the crown
5	council?	
6	A	You're doing the repetition of the deposition
7	beautifully	y. Yes.
8	Q	Okay. This goes faster because you've already told
9	me the answ	wers to my questions.
10	A	Sure.
11		JUDGE SIPPEL: It's helpful for me, so please go
12	on.	
13		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: So a good cross, I'm told,
14	I know the	answers because I've already asked them. Then we
15	get you to	make a nice, clean record for the judge.
16		THE WITNESS: That's right. And I affirm that they
17	are correct	<b>.</b> .
18		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Exactly.
19		BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
20	Q	Okay. One of the big things you did in Bermuda was
21	develop pr	otocols for the interviewing of sexually abused
22	children?	
23	A	That's correct.
24	Q	And you did that with Dr. Hively?
25	А	I did.

1	Q	Okay. Then you returned to St. Louis and worked
2	for the St	. Louis Behavior Institute for two years?
3	A	Behavior Medicine Institute, yes.
4	Q	Okay. That was 2004 to 2006?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Okay. And then, in 2006, you opened your current
7	practice w	ith Dr. Hively?
8	A	Correct.
9	Q	Okay. In 2008, your office got the franchise for
10	the Abel se	ex offender risk assessment?
11	A	We did.
12	Q	Okay. And many of the forensic sex offender risk
13	assessment	s your office now does involves this test?
14	A	Correct.
15	Q	You do approximately five to eight of these
16	assessment	s each year?
17	A	Correct. It depends on the year. We do that's
18	just using	the Abel, so let's make sure the record is clean.
19	Q	Okay.
20	A	You were inquiring about the Abel.
21	Q	Right.
22	A	And we got the franchise in 2008. We do five, six,
23	seven a ye	ar. But we do a lot of other evaluations for sex
24	offenders	that may not include the Abel.
25		Okay Do you do sex offender risk assessments for

		393
1	people?	
2	A	We do.
3	Q	How many?
4	A	The Abels usually are included in that number.
5	And, for th	nose cases where we don't do Abels, we're probably
6	three a mor	nth.
7	Q	Okay.
8	A	So what's that, 3 times 12.
9	Q	One of your specialties is forensic psychology?
10	A	We tend to get cases involving the legal system,
11	yes.	
12	Q	Okay. Forensic psychology refers to those cases
13	that involv	ve the legal system?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Okay. So you use the just so that we're on the
16	same place	with the term, okay?
17		Your forensic work comprises five to seven percent
18	of your pra	actice, and the remainder is clinical?
19	A	It varies from year to year, yes.
20	Q	At your deposition, you reported to me that your
21	forensic p	ractice comprises five to seven percent of your
22	practice.	
23	A	And I apologize if I didn't say it varies from year
24	to year.	
	i e	

Okay. Between 30 and 40 percent of Dr. Hively's

1	clinical p	ractice and between six and eight percent of your
2	clinical p	ractice relates to the treatment of sex offenders?
3	A	Correct.
4		JUDGE SIPPEL: How would you define sex offender?
5		THE WITNESS: The legal system defines it, Your
6	Honor.	
7		JUDGE SIPPEL: Let's move on.
8		BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
9	Q	In your current practice in the U.S I'm not
10	referring 1	oack to Bermuda approximately 95 percent of your
11	forensic w	ork involves working for the defense?
12	A	That's true.
13	Q	Okay. Have you and, when I asked you if you had
14	testified 1	pefore, you said you had been deposed and testified
15	as an expe	rt witness?
16	A	Yes, sir.
17	Q	Okay. In 2016 2017 first, how many times have
18	you been d	eposed?
19	A	We're in May. I'm sorry. I don't have my book
20	with me, b	ut I think four or five times.
21	Q	Okay. Have you testified as an expert witness this
22	year?	
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	How many times?
25	A	I think two.

1	Q Okay. And, in 2016, would you have
2	A I'm sorry. I didn't bring my book.
3	Q So you have no estimate?
4	A I don't have a way to give you a number.
5	Q Okay. So more than 10?
6	A I'm sorry.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Would it be fair to say that you
8	part of your employment or part of your profession is to
9	testify?
10	THE WITNESS: No. The more fair thing to say is
11	that a case comes into an attorney and it's involving
12	allegations of sexual abuse. He sends the case to me, to Dr.
13	Hively and myself, to review. We take a look at all of the
14	data, and we do a work product letter back to them about, run
15	to the bargaining table; do not pass go. Or, here's the place
16	where you need more information. Or, I think you could pursue
17	this line of defense.
18	So we do the case analysis, which doesn't involve
19	testifying. It's just looking
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: I know exactly what you're saying.
21	Do you if the case goes to trial and you have testified
22	in trials
23	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: have you ever been asked by an
25	attorney to assess prospective jurors?

1		THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
2		JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. That's it.
3		BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
4	Q	Have you ever testified in a sex offender risk
5	assessment	case?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Okay. Do you many of those you've testified in?
8	A	Sorry, I don't.
9	Q	Okay. And you have no way of estimating that?
10	A	No.
11	Q	Okay.
12	A	Can I clean up my answer? Maybe Gary wants to
13	do you wan	t to I can wait.
14		JUDGE SIPPEL: Are you talking about Mr. Oshinsky?
15		THE WITNESS: Yeah. Well, he wanted to ask Bill
16	a question	
17		BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
18	Q	He just wanted to try to if you have is it
19	more than	five sex offender risk assessment cases?
20	A	I don't know.
21		JUDGE SIPPEL: You don't want to use first words
22	on the tra	nscript. First names, I'm sorry.
23		THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm sorry.
24		JUDGE SIPPEL: That's all right.
25		THE WITNESS: Mr. Oshinsky.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: No offense to Mr. Oshinsky.
2	THE WITNESS: Thank you. I apologize.
3	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
4	Q Do you have an idea of the percentage of the time
5	you're testifying in a sex offender risk assessment case?
6	A No. But thank you for asking that question because
7	that lets me answer the thing that I was trying to answer
8	before. This is not our total area of expertise. We work in
9	this area but Dr. Weitl is eminently more qualified that we
10	are to I mean, she's been in the trenches. She's worked
11	in the actual MOSOP program. So we're not trench workers.
12	We're more like outside consultants that get brought in, if
13	that helps make the distinction.
14	Q Okay. Yeah. I'd like you to turn to Appendix B,
15	the same report. It's your 1991 report regarding Michael
16	Rice.
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q Now, just to make the record clear on this, this
19	was done if I understand it correctly, Mr. Rice had been
20	arrested. He had been hospitalized. He was being treated by
21	Dr. Stillings, and Dr. Stillings asked you to come evaluate
22	Mr. Rice; is that correct?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Okay. And your recollection is that Dr. Stillings
25	probably paid you because Mr. Rice was not functioning well

		398
1	enough to a	actually physically pay the bill at that time?
2	A	No. I think we billed his insurance.
3	Q	Okay.
4	A	That's my recollection. But it's '91, so
5	Q	Okay. You recollect that differently than you did
6	at your d	eposition when you told me that Dr. Stillings
7	probably pa	aid you because Mr. Rice was not functioning at that
8	time?	
9	A	If that's what I testified, that's what I
10	testified.	
11	Q	Okay.
12	A	But my recollection on today's date is that we
13	billed the	insurance.
14	Q	Okay.
15	A	Because we were
16	Q	It's the
17	A	Right, because we're providers for that particular
18	insurance.	
19	Q	Okay. We're going great guns. Otherwise I'd pull
20	out the	
21	A	That's okay.
22	Q	I don't think it's important enough.
23	A	Whatever you need.

Okay. At the bottom of page 1 or page 205 of that

report --

24

1	A Yes.
2	Q it's a list of tests you ran, and you chose
3	those tests because they you believe those were the best
4	practices; is that correct?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Okay. And, at the top of page 2, it indicates that
7	they discontinued the lithium, which was Dr. Stillings was
8	using to treat Mr. Rice for his serious psychotic serious
9	mental illness at that time, so you could observe and test him
LO	without the medicine; is that correct?
L1	A Yes.
L2	Q Turning to the bottom to page 3 at the bottom,
L3	it indicates that, after the sale of station KIRL in 1979, Mr.
L4	Rice became particularly depressed, became suicidal with plan,
L5	and would dissociate into a hyperactive adolescent behavior
L6	fueled by binge drinking. Am I correct on that?
L7	A That's what we wrote, yes.
L8	Q Okay. And so, at that time, you had an
L9	understanding that his problems dated back to something like
20	1979?
21	A In the lead-up through the eighties, yes.
22	Q Okay. Did you know Mr. Rice before 1991 when you
23	did this report?
24	A I never met him before meeting him in the hospital?

Okay.

Q

25

So you became aware of this from Dr.

1	Stillings':	s history and by interviewing Mr. Rice?
2	A	Correct.
3	Q	Turning to page 4, you diagnosed Mr. Rice with a
4	massive lea	arning disability?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	As far as you know, that's the first time he had
7	been diagno	osed with a learning disability?
8	A	Somebody forgot to notice when he was in school.
9		JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm still on page 3.
10		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay.
11		JUDGE SIPPEL: The same paragraph, okay, his
12	depressive	behavior particularly acute, pattern to overwork
13	and then d	issociate, fueled by binge drinking.
14		THE WITNESS: Correct.
15		JUDGE SIPPEL: And it was under all of these
16	circumstan	ces that he allowed his house to become open. Now
17	is one or	all of those things, those factors, causative? I
18	mean, this	is what caused him to do that?
19		THE WITNESS: Yes. Well, that and the fact that,
20	as we talke	ed about in his clinical/psychological history, all
21	of the fact	tors that we talked about with
22		JUDGE SIPPEL: Right.
23		THE WITNESS: Asperger's, geekiness
24		JUDGE SIPPEL: Right.
25		THE WITNESS lack of social skills and then

1	the mental illness on top of it.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: But, if he didn't have those
3	conditions or if they were less intense at the time I mean,
4	I'm trying to think, well, what's the relationship between
5	having those distinct problems and being attracted to the
6	boys?
7	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure it's attracted.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yeah, attracted is my word.
9	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: I know what you're saying.
11	THE WITNESS: I think it's more like the perfect
12	storm. You've got these psychological conditions. You've got
13	these environmental conditions. You've got delayed
14	adolescence for him. Probably he's gay initially, but
15	couldn't allow himself to be gay because, c'mon, it's the
16	seventies and the eighties. And so his sexual activity then
17	involved the boys who were at his house, or boy that was at
18	his house. So yes.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm just missing something.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm just missing something.
22	THE WITNESS: Can I help?
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: I don't want to become your patient,
24	but I'm going to work with you on this one.
25	Supposing hypothetically, supposing someone

Τ.	became terribly well, here's a good situation. It was
2	recently we had this young not a young man, but a man
3	was on the telephone with his ex-girlfriend who he was mad at.
4	And, while he was on the phone with her, he was shooting
5	people arbitrarily. You know that story?
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Now what was the cause of him
8	doing that? Would it be the girl's breaking off with him?
9	Would it be one of these characteristics that you've testified
10	to? Or would it be a combination? I mean, obviously, this
11	is a hypothetical. You don't know all the facts.
12	THE WITNESS: Right. Hypothetically speaking, the
13	impulse control issue becomes paramount for you to look at,
14	whether or not he had a history of impulse control problems
15	speeding tickets, thrown out of school, fistfights, DWIs. So
16	you look for his behavior pattern, which would say that, under
17	stress conditions, he would act impulsively.
18	Then you have the
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: To the point of taking somebody's
20	life?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, which is why mental
22	illness is really a priority for the budget.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, it almost sounds like is
24	there anybody that's free of some facsimile of these
	conditions?
ر ہے	

1	THE WITNESS: I think
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: I mean, we're all born.
3	THE WITNESS: I think we all struggle. We all
4	struggle to put together the value system and the beliefs and
5	the behavior so that it functions.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I don't want to pursue that.
7	I mean, I'm very interested by it, but I'm sorry. But I
8	wait a minute. I was trying to I'm trying to get the
9	concept, are things causative? I mean, is there such a thing
10	as I think you'll probably accept there's such a thing as
11	free will.
12	THE WITNESS: I think mens rea is actually the term
13	that works best, where you form intent.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. Latin words always work
15	better.
16	THE WITNESS: And that's what we're talking about.
17	Did Michael Rice have mens rea at the point where he was
18	drinking and the kid was in his house?
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: And your conclusion?
20	THE WITNESS: He's not a predator. He's not a lure
21	the kid in and pull his knickers down. That's not the way the
22	scenario goes.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: He was a groomer?
24	THE WITNESS: No. He no. He is mentally ill.
25	He's recovering from his mental illness. He has a psychotic

1	break. He has an available, curious 13-year-old. And they
2	probably did the, I'll touch yours if you touch mine.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Okay. So I just
4	again, I'm bothered by this.
5	THE WITNESS: You're looking for cause and effect.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Exactly. And everybody, at some
7	stage well, they develop I'm still going to go back to
8	this. They establish a will.
9	THE WITNESS: Correct.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: A will. And different people have
11	different points at which the will can be broken.
12	THE WITNESS: It depends on whether you're
13	psychotic and whether or not you're not thinking straight.
14	So if you add alcohol to extreme fatigue and a history of
15	mental illness in the genetic family, then you get this
16	cocktail which leads to acting out and inappropriate behavior.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Okay. I agree with you.
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: I think we need a lot more money for
20	this. This and cancer, I would say.
21	THE WITNESS: I totally agree.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Go ahead, Mr. Knowles-Kellett.
23	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
24	Q Okay. Page 7 of that report, if you would, the
25	bottom paragraph.

	100
1	A Not 207, but 7?
2	Q It's 211 and 7.
3	A Thank you. I'm there.
4	Q Okay. He used intellectualization as a major
5	defensive tactic?
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Where are you?
7	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
8	Q Would you explain that term?
9	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Bottom of page 7. Bottom
10	paragraph
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: The bottom paragraph, okay.
12	THE WITNESS: We're talking about the first test
13	which was the reference is the Rorschach.
14	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
15	Q Okay.
16	A And I apologize. We only keep records for 12
17	years, and I don't have the original Rorschach, so I can't
18	tell you what his specific response was.
19	Q Okay.
20	A But I just am able to tell you that, when you take
21	a test as abstract and obtuse as the Rorschach these are
22	the inkblots and you're asked to look at it and tell me
23	what you see, he would form a response that was primarily
24	intellectual.
25	Q Okay. And you say that he suffered from faulty

1	conceptual:	ization; is that correct?
2	A	Yes. That was one of the score qualities on the
3	test.	
4	Q	Okay. When you use this to describe Mr. Rice, you
5	means he	forms a concept, but because of his learning
6	disability	, it is not an accurate understanding of the world.
7	Yet he acts	s on the concept; is that correct?
8	A	Where are you?
9	Q	I'm actually in your deposition the answer you
10	gave to wha	at faulty conceptualization in your deposition.
11	A	Oh.
12	Q	I have it if you want to look at it.
13	A	I don't recall what I said in the deposition, so
14	if I said	it in the deposition, I probably would say
15	Q	If you can, explain it.
16	A	Okay.
17	Q	Because this is one of those where you're just
18	explaining	the terms to us.
19	Q	Oh, faulty conceptualization?
20	A	Yes. You said, with respect to it's
21	Q	Where are you, dear? What page?
22	A	Let's see, page 31 of the deposition.
23	Q	Okay. Faulty concept your answer, line 5, fault
24	conceptual:	ization is, again, part of the legacy, we think, of

his learning disability where he forms a concept and it's not

1	accurate.	It's got holes in it. Yet, he behaves as if it is
2	a complete	concept.
3		Does that refresh your recollection of the answer?
4	A	It does, thank you.
5	Q	Okay.
6	A	And now you would like me to explain what faulty
7	conceptual:	ization is?
8	Q	Is this an accurate thing, using his learning
9	disability	, he has a he forms a concept that's not accurate
10	and thinks	it's accurate? If there's more explanation, I'm
11	happy for :	it.
12	A	Okay. Let's talk a little bit about his learning
13	disability	. I suspect it was undiagnosed because he's very
14	bright. <sup>1</sup>	Verbal IQ is, I think, 116. So average IQ of
15	attorneys :	is 114. Average IQ of physicians is 112. So he's
16	at 116. So	o a bright guy.
17		Then you look at his
18		JUDGE SIPPEL: Physicians, they're lower than
19	attorneys?	
20		THE WITNESS: Oh, God, yes. Sorry. Sorry. Oh,
21	yes, sir.	
22		JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. I'm just surprised.
23		THE WITNESS: They're worker bees.
24		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okey-dokey.
25		JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm going to cut down on paving that

1	much money	to them.
2		BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
3	Q	Okay. There also, the same paragraph. He had
4	difficulty	with impulse control and tended to behave
5	immaturely:	?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	And he assessed on this test as vulnerable to the
8	manipulatio	on of others?
9	A	That's from the test results, yes.
10	Q	Okay. At this time, in 1991, you observed Mr. Rice
11	suffering t	From psychosis?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	And, when they took the lithium off, it was florid,
14	I think was	s the term you used?
15	A	Yes, florid is the term.
16	Q	Okay. That means that it's rampant?
17	A	No. It means that it's visible and obnoxious.
18	Q	Okay. But it's not far from what I would use
19	rampant for	r, but I like yours better.
20		Okay. Turning to page 10
21	A	All right. I'm off the deposition now?
22	Q	Yes, back on the report. The deposition was just
23		
24	A	To clear up
25	Q	for an answer.

1	A	the conceptualization question.
2	Q	To clean up my understanding. Thank you.
3	A	Okay.
4	Q	And, hopefully, it'll help the judge at the end.
5		Page 10, first paragraph: his bipolar disorder
6	alternates	the mania with periods of intense depression. The
7	depression	was treated with alcohol. A characteristic of this
8	mania is di	storted thinking accompanied by reckless behavior.
9	In this st	ate, he was vulnerable to extreme manipulation by
10	the boys w	no frequented his house.
11		My question is, did you meet those boys?
12	A	No.
13	Q	Okay. I think at the deposition you testified that
14	you did, h	owever, see police reports at that time?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Do you know if those are the same police reports
17	that are in	ncluded in our documents today, or do you not?
18	A	I make that assumption.
19	Q	Okay. Turning to page 11, you found that, if his
20	medication	is vigorously controlled and he remains free of
21	alcohol, p	rognosis for successful treatment is good; is that
22	correct?	
23	A	Yes.
24		JUDGE SIPPEL: Which paragraph? I'm on page 11,
25	but	

1	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I think that that's my
2	summary, Your Honor, of all of the whole page, mostly the
3	bottom half: We would recommend the following.
4	THE WITNESS: I think under paragraph two.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Number two?
6	THE WITNESS: Right. Yes. We need to rebuild his
7	personality structure, taking him from his adolescence into
8	full adulthood. He has intellectual insight and the framework
9	for shame and guilt, so reconstruction is needed. And that
LO	was our recommendation.
L1	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
L2	Q And number three at the bottom of that page, you
L3	recommended that he not be incarcerated at that time; is that
L4	correct?
L5	A Right. The kind of treatment that he needed was
L6	not available in the prison system.
L7	Q Okay. And this okay. In the Stillings report
L8	that you were confirming at that time, do you recall that Dr.
L9	Stillings thought that Mr. Rice split into two personalities,
20	one of which he referred to as a hyperactive teenager called
21	Mike, Jr.?
22	A That was what I testified to previously with the
23	judge about our disagreement.
24	Q Right.
25	A We didn't agree with him.

1	Q You've indicated that you never met Mike, Jr., and
2	you just had a professional disagreement with Dr. Stillings
3	on whether it rose to the level of a separate personality
4	disorder?
5	A We never met Mike, Jr. I'm sorry. Would you
6	reframe that question? I'm having
7	Q Did you ever meet Mike, Jr.?
8	A I met Mike, but
9	Q Did you ever see a separate personality, Mike, Jr.?
10	A No. That's where
11	Q Okay. That's
12	A I disagreed with Dr. Stillings.
13	Q Correct. And you had a you described it, I
14	think, as a professional difference of opinion?
15	A Correct.
16	Q And you described it as not rising to the level of
17	a separate personality?
18	A Correct. We saw it much more as bipolar and the
19	mania phase, which Dr. Stillings gave the idea that it was a
20	separate personality, but we didn't. We thought he was much
21	more intact under the surface than Dr. Stillings did.
22	Q Okay. Is it correct that you believe Mr. Rice does
23	not have a recollection of all of the events relating to his
24	offenses, in part due to his dissociative disorder?
25	A That is our opinion, yes.

1	Q	Okay.
2	A	And so, when he's asked for details, he is hard-
3	pressed to	come up with them because he was, in fact, out of
4	his mind at	that point.
5		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okey-dokey. Could we take
6	a 10-minute	e break, Your Honor? I don't have very much left,
7	but I need	to talk to Mr. Oshinsky about exactly what it is.
8		JUDGE SIPPEL: Let's see, what time is it?
9		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Five to 11:00.
LO		JUDGE SIPPEL: We'll take a well, I'll tell you
L1	what. We'l	l take a 15-minute break and come back at 10 after
L2	11:00.	
L3		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: That would be perfect, Your
L4	Honor.	
L5		JUDGE SIPPEL: 10 after 11:00.
L6		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Thank you.
L7		JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. You're still under oath,
L8	ma'am.	
L9		THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. And do not talk to
20	counsel.	
21		JUDGE SIPPEL: That's right. Okay. We're off the
22	record.	
23		(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
24	record at 1	0:54 a.m. and resumed at 11:19 a.m.)

JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Let's go back on the record.

1		BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
2	Q	Okay. Turning to your current report, that's
3	direct cas	se Exhibit the Lake direct case Exhibit 3,
4	Appendix C	•
5	A	Yes, sir.
6	Q	Okay. And that's the one you did for this
7	proceeding	; is that correct?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And you did it with Dr. Wells-Hively?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Okay. And do you recall at your deposition that
12	I asked yo	u the basis for this report, and we went through
13	that?	
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Okay. The first part of the basis was the
16	Greenberg	Forensic History; is that correct?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. And then you did some other tests, the
19	Minnesota M	Multiphasic Personality Inventory, Caldwell scoring?
20	A	Yes, 2.
21	Q	The Rorschach test?
22	A	Correct.
23	Q	R-PAS scoring?
24	A	Yes, sir.
25	Q	Achenbach Self-Report?

1	A Yes.
2	Q And then you say the Greenberg, but that refers to
3	what we just covered, right?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Okay. And then I think, later on, you added that
6	you did a Static-99 that wasn't listed among these tests?
7	A Yes, and a Static-2002 also. We did both.
8	Q Okay.
9	A And we also sorry.
LO	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, go ahead.
L1	THE WITNESS: We did a Static-99 and a Static-2002.
L2	We also did the Minnesota recidivism test. And then, later,
L3	we did the Abel. So, yeah, we did four things that are not
L4	listed in the report?
L5	JUDGE SIPPEL: What does the term Static mean?
L6	THE WITNESS: Statistical it's the test that
L7	prison and parole use to predict recidivism. If you're a
L8	high-risk person, they have nine factors that they assign a
L9	weight score to, and that then gives you a cumulative total
20	to put you into low risk, medium risk, or high risk for
21	recidivism of the offense. In other words
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: These are categories
23	THE WITNESS: is he going to do it again?
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: 1, 2, 3?

THE WITNESS: Correct.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you think there's any value to
2	that?
3	THE WITNESS: Oh, it's used all the time.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, but is there any value to it,
5	professionally speaking?
6	THE WITNESS: Statistically speaking, the variables
7	that they look at are supposed by the data, by the research.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's good enough.
9	THE WITNESS: Right?
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Go ahead. I'm sorry.
11	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
12	Q Okay. And then you looked at historical documents,
13	the Yarbrough polygraph, the documents from the probation and
14	parole file, the materials filed in this hearing, and the
15	certificate of completion of the MOSOP program, the
16	description of the MOSOP program, and the release that relates
17	to the MOSOP program; is that correct?
18	A Yes. And did you include Dr. Robinson's post-
19	release? Did I put that one in?
20	Q No.
21	A Oh, okay. My apologies.
22	Q Do you have a copy of that?
23	A Yes. The description of Dr. Robinson's post-
24	release program is in the file. We'll get it for you.
25	Q Okay.

1	A	That's the 12-week program that Mike took after he
2	was release	ed.
3		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Got it.
4		JUDGE SIPPEL: When you say it's in the file, it's
5	not in the	record here.
6		THE WITNESS: Sorry, sir. It's in my file.
7		JUDGE SIPPEL: In your file, okay.
8		THE WITNESS: Yes.
9		MR. JACOBS: I think we have previously
10		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Provided it?
11		MR. JACOBS: transmitted it, yes.
12		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I'd love to just see it
13	before	
14		MR. JACOBS: Of course.
15		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
16	Q	Okay. And then you did certain collateral reports,
17	collateral	interviews.
18	A	I talked to the two probation officers that were
19	in charge d	of his case, Missy and Tom.
20	Q	Okay. You didn't report
21	A	A telephone interview with them.
22	Q	Okay. That was not on the at the time of the
23	deposition	. Was that since the deposition?
24	A	No, it was before I wrote the report.
25	Q	Okay. At the deposition, you told me that you

1	talked with	h Mark Robinson, Frank Utley, and Dr. Demetry. Do
2	you recall	that?
3	A	Well, those were Demetry is his primary care
4	physician.	Frank is his boarder. Robinson was in charge of
5	the post-re	elease treatment program.
6	Q	Okay.
7	A	And then I also talked to the two probation and
8	parole off	icers who were in charge of his case, which was
9	Missy a	nd I'll get her last name as soon as I get my file
10	and	
11	Q	Missy Cruz.
12	A	Cruz, thank you again.
13		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: We can stipulate to that.
14		THE WITNESS: And Tom
15		BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
16	Q	Loudon.
17	A	Thank you.
18	Q	Is that correct?
19	A	Yeah, Tom Loudon.
20	Q	Okay.
21	A	Those were the two probation officers that were his
22	primary ca	se managers during his probation and parole.
23	Q	Okay. And Mr. Robinson told you, I wouldn't have
24	graduated 1	him if he hadn't passed all the criteria; is that
25	correct?	

1	A	Correct.
2	Q	And Dr. Demetry says he sees him every sees Mr.
3	Rice every	three months. He has him on 300 milligrams of
4	Wellbutrin	. He's medically stable except for his diabetes,
5	and shows 1	no signs of mental disturbance?
6	A	That's correct.
7	Q	Okay. And Mr. Utley, his boarder, reports he has
8	no problem	s with Mr. Rice and does not observe children or
9	teenagers o	coming to the house?
LO	A	That's correct.
L1	Q	Okay. And the interviews and testing you did with
L2	Mr. Rice to	ook nine hours?
L3	A	A total of, yes.
L4	Q	And four of those were yours, and five were Dr.
L5	Wells-Hive	Ly?
L6	A	I believe that's true.
L7	Q	Okay. And then you testified that the Wellbutrin,
L8	you think,	treats his dysthymia?
L9	A	Dysthymia.
20	Q	Dysthymia?
21	A	Right.
22	Q	And dysthymia is moodiness, depression?
23	A	Low-level depression.
24	Q	Okay. And you indicated that you need something

stronger than that to treat bipolar?

1	A Typically, yes. Wellbutrin doesn't touch it
2	because it's not an SSRI.
3	Q Okay. On the basis of the tests you ran, he's not
4	a pedophile?
5	A Correct.
6	Q And that you believe his impulses are gone?
7	A They have either aged out, or he has recovered from
8	his bout of mental illness or a combination of the two.
9	Q Okay. At the time, you said that it was due to his
10	diabetes and the diabetic medicine, Metformin, which he
11	recently switched to Toujeo?
12	A That's correct.
13	Q And you explained that, in 1991, he would have
14	qualified as a pedophile under the DSM as a result of his
15	inappropriate sexual contact?
16	A In the in '91, all we had was the DSM-2, and we
17	didn't have the level of detail that we have in the DSM-5, so
18	yes. He would fit under the general category of child
19	molester, yes.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: He wouldn't fit now?
21	THE WITNESS: He doesn't qualify now?
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Under this?
23	THE WITNESS: Yeah, because of that, right.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, because of the age?
25	THE WITNESS: Yeah exactly And no behavior You

		420
1	can't diagr	nose something that doesn't exist.
2		BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
3	Q	Okay. Are you being paid for your testimony today?
4	A	I'm sorry?
5	Q	Are you being paid for your testimony today? This
6	is a wrap-u	ıp.
7	A	Nice try. I get paid for my time, just like you
8	do.	
9	Q	Okay.
10	A	And, yes, I have been paid for my time.
11	Q	And how much are you being paid?
12	A	The retainer was 3,500.
13	Q	Okay. And what's your hourly rate?
14	A	For testimony, it's 500 an hour.
15	Q	Have you ever treated Mr. Rice?
16	A	No, that would be inappropriate.
17	Q	Okay.
18	A	I'm an evaluator, not a treater.
19	Q	Okay. With other people, you treat them; is that
20	correct?	
21	A	I do, yes.
22	Q	Okay. But, when you're doing an evaluation, you
23	don't turn	around and treat?
24	A	It's unethical.
25	Q	Okay.

1	A	It's called a dual relationship.
2	Q	Is that why Dr. Stillings called you in to evaluate
3	him in '913	
4	A	Well, he operates under a different code of ethics
5	because he	was a psychiatrist.
6	Q	Okay.
7	A	I think he called us in because he knew that we had
8	some traini	ng and expertise in the area of sex offender.
9		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay. That's all the
LO	questions 1	have, Your Honor.
L1		JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Jacobs?
L2		MR. JACOBS: Yes, Your Honor, I need your guidance
L3	at this poi	nt, please.
L4		JUDGE SIPPEL: It's going to cost you 500 an hour.
L5		MR. JACOBS: Well, that's okay. That's okay. I've
L6	paid more.	The odd way that the witnesses are being presented
L7	creates an	issue here. I heard
L8		JUDGE SIPPEL: Let's see. Wait a minute. What's
L9	the odd way	7?
20		MR. JACOBS: Well, I'll explain. The problem is
21	that Dr. W	Weitl is the last witness, and she will not be
22	testifying	until tomorrow. Yet her exhibit contains a number
23	of negative	e comments about the Duncan-Hively reports. Mr.
24	Knowles-Kel	lett did not touch on any or just about any of

those items.

1	I would like to ask Dr. Duncan to respond to these
2	attacks in Dr. Weitl's exhibit, and that's why I'm in a
3	quandary.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, you agreed with counsel for
5	the order of the witnesses.
6	MR. JACOBS: The problem with Dr. Weitl was not
7	available until Friday.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: So you want what you're asking
9	is you think that Dr. Duncan should be able to respond to Dr.
LO	Weitl's criticisms; is that what you're saying?
L1	MR. JACOBS: Yes.
L2	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: If that had been in her
L3	direct testimony, I think it would have been fine. Oh, you
L4	didn't have the direct testimony. I take it back.
L5	THE WITNESS: Could I offer a solution here?
L6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Sure, we'll listen to anything.
L7	THE WITNESS: We're not going back until Saturday,
L8	and I'm going to be here for Dr. Weitl's presentation. And
L9	then I would be available if that would help you.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: How's that?
21	MR. JACOBS: I don't think they were listening.
22	MR. JACOBS: Can we go off the record?
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm sorry. Let's go off the record.
24	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
25	record at 11.32 a m and regumed at 11.34 a m )

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Let's go back on the record.
2	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: The Bureau I think that
3	the proposal on the table was for her to testify after Dr.
4	Weitl.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Dr. Duncan testifying after Dr.
6	Weitl.
7	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Tomorrow.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, if it's well, yes, we know
9	it's necessary.
10	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: And the Bureau would like the
11	opportunity that would be in the nature of rebuttal. If
12	Dr. Weitl would be here, hear the criticisms and could respond
13	to them afterwards, we're fine with that procedure.
14	We don't know that that's going to be necessary.
15	We have no idea what this is about. But we think that that's
16	probably the preferable thing than doing it right now.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, because that's the yes,
18	let's we're not going to do it now. We can't because Dr.
19	Weitl hasn't testified.
20	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Right.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: But she could respond to points in
22	her written testimony, I mean Dr. Duncan could.
23	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Yes, but we're fine with
24	her doing we think it would better to do it after Dr.
25	Weitl, that that's the natural course. And Dr. Weitl would

		424
1	be able to he	ear her criticisms and respond, if necessary. I
2	want to go ho	ome at the end of the day on Friday.
3	T	HE WITNESS: I'm confused.
4	J	UDGE SIPPEL: We'll explain this. Mr. Jacobs,
5	what would	d that procedure be all right with you?
6	M	R. JACOBS: Yes.
7	J	UDGE SIPPEL: Now the next question is how long
8	is Dr. Weitl	's testimony going to be?
9	M	R. JACOBS: How long is the cross? I should think
10	it would be	no more than three hours.
11	J	UDGE SIPPEL: Three hours, really?
12	M	R. JACOBS: Well, we're beginning at, whatever,
13	9:30 or 10:30	0.
14	J	UDGE SIPPEL: 9:30.
15	M	R. JACOBS: It might be less. I don't know. I'm
16	just trying	to judge from the depositions last September.
17	J	UDGE SIPPEL: Well, as I say, I'm trying to I
18	mean, I'm try	ring to run a railroad here, and we want to finish
19	on Friday.	
20	M	R. JACOBS: Me, too. Me, too. And I have a
21	dinner appoin	ntment at 7:00.
22	J	UDGE SIPPEL: Who am I to ask? That's fine. What

about -- okay, never mind. I'm going to leave the rest all

I just know what time I want to be out of here

And since, Mr. Jacobs, you do have the burden of

tomorrow.

23

24

1	proof, in all fairness, I'm more concerned about you getting
2	to ask the questions than I am about the Bureau. But I
3	understand that, too. If it's not too much, I have the
4	discretion to cut you off if this is going too far. We don't
5	want that to happen.
6	Okay. That's all right. I'm not
7	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I think we're talking, both
8	testimony, it's a very short matter.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: I think so.
10	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Is that correct?
11	MR. JACOBS: Yes. I mean, it's very clear that
12	pages 23 to 27
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: And a little bit of a short answer,
14	you think it's not going to be a big deal?
15	MR. JACOBS: Right.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Take too long?
17	MR. JACOBS: Right.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: But it's got to be done?
19	MR. JACOBS: Yes. And it's
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. And I agree with you. And
21	I agree with you.
22	MR. JACOBS: quite clear
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: Don't argue hey, don't argue with
24	someone who's agreeing with you.
25	MR. JACOBS: All right.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Let's move on. We're going
2	to do that.
3	THE WITNESS: Point of information, Your Honor.
4	I found the description of the post-release treatment program
5	that Mr. Rice went through.
6	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: May I see that?
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you have a copy?
8	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I don't know that I do.
9	MR. OSHINSKY: Your Honor, can we assume then that
10	Mr. Jacobs doesn't have any redirect of Dr. Duncan-Hively at
11	this point?
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: I don't know. I don't know. Mr.
13	Jacobs.
14	MR. JACOBS: I think that my redirect is best
15	delayed until after Dr. Weitl testifies.
16	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: If it has to do with today's
17	testimony, I think it should be today.
18	MR. JACOBS: It doesn't.
19	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay. Can I keep this over
20	lunch and give it back to you?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Only if you promise.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I want copies of it, and I
23	want to put it in the record.
24	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: That's fair enough. Well,
25	I'll bring back four copies, Your Honor, if that works with

1	you guys.
2	MR. JACOBS: I'm quite sure it was previously
3	submitted, but
4	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I don't think it said an
5	exhibit.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Let's go.
7	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I think we're finished.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: You're finished and now he's the
9	witness, Dr. Duncan, is going to get redirected after Dr.
10	Weitl and everything is done.
11	MR. OSHINSKY: Your Honor, I think Mr. Jacobs just
12	said he doesn't have any direct of the cross-examination
13	today.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, today?
15	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: He's got rebuttal tomorrow.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Okay. I hear you.
17	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Is it okay that we take a
18	break from now until 1:15?
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Wait a minute. Wait a minute. I'm
20	not finished.
21	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Just relax. I just have a question
23	or two.
24	You testified that it was that is, your report

testifies that the boys manipulated Mr. Rice.

1	THE WITNESS: Yes, that was probably a misuse of
2	a verb. They participated like
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, they took the lead?
4	THE WITNESS: I have not been able to ascertain an
5	accurate description of the activities except it was mutual,
6	and there was no force involved.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, there could have been some
8	kind of what about alcohol?
9	THE WITNESS: Absolutely.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, isn't that a form of
11	nonconsensual sex?
12	THE WITNESS: No, he didn't provide alcohol, at
13	least according to
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, conveniently, the boys
15	provided it for themselves.
16	THE WITNESS: Correct. And did he act in the
17	function of an adult? No, he didn't. And was he
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, he said that
19	THE WITNESS: And was he and was he 13 and
20	mentally ill at the time? Absolutely. And did he do harm to
21	the boys? Yes.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I was what I'm I guess
23	what I'm ultimately getting at is how can you reach any
24	conclusion with respect to the boys if they haven't been
25	examined?

THE WITNESS: The only information that we have is
from the police reports and from their witness impact
statements. That's all we've got.
JUDGE SIPPEL: And, from those reports, you glean
that the boys were the I'm going to say it again, but the
boys were the originators of the
THE WITNESS: No. And I didn't infer and I
apologize for the awkward phraseology. Let's put it this way.
If you have Asperger's, who's a putz, who's a geek, who has
resources, and he has a big house. And you can hang out in
it, and you can bring liquor to it. And he wants to show you
his because you're going to show him his yours, then that's
an activity between two people, both of whom were emotionally
aged 15. And was it appropriate? Not at all. But he's not
a predator in the sense of luring the boys in and plying them
with whatever in order to work his way with them.
We have had cases where there are clear predators,
and yes, the behavior is inappropriate. But it is not
looking for the right word no preset plan, let's put it
that way.
JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, perhaps not with the first
time. But these visits were repeated.
THE WITNESS: They certainly were.
JUDGE SIPPEL: Particularly by the fellow next

25 door.

1	THE WITNESS: Right, CZ.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: And it might be
3	THE WITNESS: Yes.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: And it might be
5	THE WITNESS: They had a relationship. And your
6	analogy to the priest is probably accurate, that there is a
7	relationship.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: But the relationship isn't an equal
9	relationship; it's the boy is looking up to the older man.
10	THE WITNESS: Not necessarily, especially when you
11	think about how awkward Mr. Rice is.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I'm not
13	THE WITNESS: It's a difficult area. It's a very
14	difficult area. We would like to monsterize him, and we would
15	like to turn him into the
16	(Simultaneous speaking.)
17	THE WITNESS: predator.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, I don't want to say that. We're
19	not talking about monsterizing anybody.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm trying to just understand what
22	you're saying with respect to the facts. That's all I'm
23	trying to do.
24	THE WITNESS: Okay. And the facts are that he set
25	up an environment where kids came and hung out and

1	masturbated. And he masturbated them, and they masturbated
2	him. And that was a mutual activity that they participated
3	in.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, it even went beyond
5	masturbation. You know that.
6	THE WITNESS: My understanding is there was oral
7	sex involved, yes.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, that's a little bit different.
9	The same effect, but different. All right. Never mind. I'm
10	sorry. I don't mean to be rude about this.
11	The concept I'm having difficulty with is how
12	where was he at that time, Mr. Rice, in his forties?
13	THE WITNESS: Your Honor, 39-40.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: How does he go from 40 to 13
15	emotionally? And then the deed is done, the boys go home, and
16	he's going to pop back up to 40 again? Or does he stay at 13?
17	THE WITNESS: He stays at 13 for the emotional
18	exchange with the boys. And then he
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: When that's over?
20	THE WITNESS: And then he gets in his car, and he
21	drives to work, and he turns into an IT geek who doesn't
22	interact with people but interacts with machines.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: So does that make him
24	THE WITNESS: And he was unmedicated.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: But does that give him two

			•			_
l per	acar	ובו	п.	Η п	90	ر:
	- DOI	тат	_	ヒエ	$\sim$ $^{\circ}$	٠

- THE WITNESS: No. It gives him two different behavior styles, not two personalities.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. I'm not going to argue
- 5 with you, but --
- THE WITNESS: It might be easier for you to have that concept, but I didn't find the data that supported that.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: No, that's all right. That's all right. I mean, he's -- there's got to be a stage of responsibility. Again, we're into the mens rea. And he intended to do all of this.
- 12 THE WITNESS: I totally agree.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: And the fact that he -- in your opinion, that he had regressed to age 13 is really not relevant.
- It's relevant in terms of THE WITNESS: 16 If you, again, read Ken Lanning's book, you will 17 behavior. find that his behavior was very sophomoric. No money was 18 He didn't engage in wild orgies. 19 exchanged. present pornography and say, let's do one of those. 20 It was, 21 in the lexicon of sexual activity, phenomenally primitive.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: It sounds like you're describing for me one of the former president's conduct. He was acting like a teenager. I don't know what age you want to necessarily give him. I think. Am I right? Do you agree with that?

1	THE WITNESS: Well, the nuance, if you will, if
2	you're going to use that reference point, is an underlying
3	narcissistic personality disorder. Our data did not show
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: And you don't have that. You don't
5	have it here?
6	THE WITNESS: No, I didn't have it here. What I
7	had was psychosis. What I had was distorted thinking. In
8	your other example and in our present configuration, we have
9	narcissistic personality disorder in all of its magnificent
10	flamboyance. We don't yes, we don't have that in this
11	case.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, the point the leap I was
13	going to make but I understand exactly what you're saying,
14	and I have no reason to disagree with it is when does a
15	person become responsible for their acts?
16	THE WITNESS: When their mental illness gets under
17	control and they have 84 sessions with Dr. Stillings after
18	release from prison. And in the course of 84 sessions,
19	individual, one-on-one, full-hour sessions with Dr. Stillings,
20	you grow up, and you learn how to become the person that you
21	saw today yesterday.
22	In other words, the part of therapy which is to
23	take the wounded person from their infantile state to a
24	functioning adult happened for Mr. Rice with the 84 sessions
25	with Dr Stillings whom he trusted. It also helped that both

1	parents died. That helped.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Dr. Stillings is treating him for
3	multiple personalities.
4	THE WITNESS: Yes, Dr. Stillings was primarily
5	treating him for the bipolar disorder.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, the fact is that he could come
7	to the conclusion that he had multiple personalities.
8	THE WITNESS: He did.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: You disagreed with that?
10	THE WITNESS: I did disagree.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Would the treatments be different
12	for multiple personalities?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes. And it's my belief, based on
14	my conversations with Dr. Stillings over the years, that he
15	was, in fact, doing what we call reparenting, which is helping
16	the mentally ill put their pieces back together and learn how
17	to be an adult. So that solidification is what we see, and
18	that's
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Is that what you did you pick up
20	on that? Is that what you continued that
21	THE WITNESS: Well, that's what the testing showed.
22	That's what the testing in 2014 showed. He's baked. He's not
23	a kid anymore. Our testing in '91, and the report is full of

the rehabilitation effect of 23 years, 84 sessions with

examples of his being silly and bizarre and impulsive.

25

And

1	Stillings, and Dr. Robinson's program, is that, in our
2	testing, there is a low risk of re-offending. He's not going
3	to do it again.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: You say that with some assurance.
5	THE WITNESS: Based on the data, that's where the
6	assurance comes from.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Have you ever reached that
8	conclusion with anybody else that you treated in a similar
9	pattern, you know, a similar in the sense of
10	THE WITNESS: Right.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: age and all of that kind of
12	THE WITNESS: Sure. I have more success with the
13	crazy than the personality disorders. If you're psychotic or
14	bipolar, we can work with it. And it happens. We can
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: What about schizophrenia?
16	THE WITNESS: That is a more difficult form of
17	treatment because you have to maintain rigid control over the
18	medication. Because, otherwise, you'll lose them, and then
19	you have to recycle, reboot. But, with this particular
20	disorder, the dissociative, the bipolar, with medication and
21	stabilization, you can get what we got. But, with personality
22	disorders, I'm not as successful.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, how all right. That was
24	an off-the-table question. But what about what I'm really
25	disturbed about or having difficulty with is that you never

You know, that is an unfortunate use

1	saw these boys, and yet you're biting around all of these
2	conclusions about them, even if maybe you've not come down
3	four-square on these conclusions, and you're sort of
4	characterizing them as being equal culprits in this.

THE WITNESS:

of the term manipulative. So, if we take the manipulative out and we think of it as, how about a basket of puppies, okay?

Think about the 13-year-olds as a puppy, and Mr. Rice as a puppy. And -- sorry. I think she wants to ask you something.

JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm sorry. Go ahead. Please continue with the analogy. You've got the 13 puppies in a box or something.

- THE WITNESS: Well, again, my metaphor may be inaccurate.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: No, I can visualize. Sometimes that's better than my glass analogies. But let's go with your puppies.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Which I got lost in. Puppies engage in all sorts of behavior. They roll around. They lick each 19 They bite each other's ears. They tug on each other. 20 other. 21 just got two new puppies, so that's probably why the And Mr. Rice, in his mental illness, 22 metaphor came through. 23 became a puppy.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: So you throw him in as puppy number
  25 14 with the other puppies.

5

10

11

1	THE WITNESS: Got it.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: But still, with the other puppies
3	he's coming into the box as a puppy.
4	THE WITNESS: In his mental condition.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: But he's going to go into the box
6	as a big dog.
7	THE WITNESS: Not necessarily, because you've
8	you've observed him. There isn't hardly anything big dog
9	about him.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: But I'm not into that. That has
11	nothing
12	THE WITNESS: Okay, sorry. You wanted to use
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: It is absolutely beyond my ken.
14	THE WITNESS: Okay.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: The point is this.
16	THE WITNESS: You
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: This I can identify with.
18	THE WITNESS: All right.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: If you've got a box full of puppies,
20	and you put a big puppy in there with them
21	THE WITNESS: Right
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: the small puppies are going to
23	give deference or give space to the big one. Oh, my God, it's
24	another big one.
25	THE WITNESS: Or they will figure out a way to get

1	his	attention,	or	they'll	figure	out	а	way	to	chew	on	his	ear

- Or they'll figure out a way to engage in sexual activity with
- 3 him, yes. That's different than a predator, a hawk, over a
- 4 box of puppies who's going to pick a puppy up and take it out
- 5 and do terrible things to it, okay?
- 6 JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm with you so far, but all I'm
- 7 saying, without looking at the puppies, all you're doing is
- 8 looking at the big dog. And your testing shows that he's got
- 9 -- in that kind of a condition, he's got himself the age of
- 10 a puppy, but you don't -- all of these other conclusions that
- 11 you're reaching, the puppies would have found a way to bite
- 12 his ear and all of that, you don't know that.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Well, I do know, Your Honor,
- 14 respectfully, I work a lot with sex abuse victims. I work a
- 15 lot with boy sex abuse victims. Dr. Hively's specialty is
- 16 actually that.
- 17 JUDGE SIPPEL: No, I respect that.
- 18 THE WITNESS: And so there is a whole way that a
- 19  $\mid$ kid has of engaging an adult and getting an adult's attention.
- 20 And it's very difficult when the adult isn't an adult when he
- 21 needs to be.
- 22 And he did it. And he molested the kids. And he
- 23 was convicted, and he paid his time. And the question is, is
- 24 he going to do it again? Is there anything in the data that
- 25 says he's a risk to do it again? And the testing data says

1 no.

10

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Dr. Weitl will have a different opinion. She will say he's absolutely classic, and he's going to grab a kid off the street and do him again. And so there's your dilemma, where you have one expert that says, our test data says absolutely not, and Dr. Weitl, who says, based on her years of experience, this one is a green-striped giraffe, and I know he's a green-striped giraffe, and you better treat him like a green striped giraffe.

So there's your dilemma.

JUDGE SIPPEL: So she could be right as well as you? You think you're right, but she could be sitting where I am if she tells me a convincing story.

THE WITNESS: She will. She's very convincing.

The only problem is she doesn't have any data. She didn't do

any testing.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Speaking of data, you said that -18 in your testimony, that you did, what was it, you listed the
19 numbers, nine specific tests?

THE WITNESS: Well, and then I added four more. We did the Abel. We did the sexual interest inventory. We did the Minnesota, which is the risk of recidivism. We did the Static-99, which is the old version. And we did the Static-2002. So we did four checks on (A) is he sexually interested in boys now? No. (B) Does he score high on the

1	Minnesota recidivism test? No. Where is he on the Static-99?
2	He is in the low-risk category.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Does anybody else have any
4	questions based on my questioning?
5	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: No, Your Honor.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Jacobs?
7	MR. JACOBS: No, Your Honor.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: You didn't list these reports
9	these tests, rather, in the reports.
10	THE WITNESS: I think
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Why?
12	THE WITNESS: I think we mentioned the we
13	mentioned the Static-99. I think that's in there. That's in
14	the report. But we did the Abel screening after the
15	deposition because Dr. Weitl said that it was a really good
16	test to determine sexual interest in boys. She's
17	MR. OSHINSKY: Your Honor, I need to interject at
18	this point. Dr. Weitl didn't say that.
19	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Well, she at least corrected
20	it. And we can have her
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, that's note at least the
22	confusion in the record. You presented certain tests that you
23	administered?
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: And now you're and you're talking

1	now about other tests that you did, but they are not in the
2	report.
3	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Why is that?
5	THE WITNESS: Well, we asked to submit a
6	supplement. Are you ready for the sequence?
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: I understand.
8	THE WITNESS: Dr. Weitl got deposed. There is a
9	test for sexual interest that is valuable in the field called
10	the Abel screening. Dr. Weitl, to my recollection, said that
11	that was a test that she valued. We administered the Abel
12	after the deposition because
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Of you or of Dr
14	THE WITNESS: All of the depositions were done.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
16	THE WITNESS: And we finished it within the time
17	frame that we were given. So we did it like two days or three
18	days after the deposition. We submitted it, but it was
19	rejected, that we weren't allowed to submit a supplement to
20	our report.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: But was that were these tests
22	that were not in the first report in the second report?
23	THE WITNESS: Yes. But we weren't allowed
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I know you weren't allowed to
25	but

1	THE WITNESS: Right.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: physically speaking, they were
3	actually in the second report?
4	THE WITNESS: Well, they were in the supplement,
5	sir.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: I mean in the supplement. I'm
7	sorry.
8	THE WITNESS: Right. Yes, sir.
9	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: If I might explain, Your
10	Honor. The court cases find the Abel test not reliable as a
11	predictor in a risk assessment.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, you're getting ahead of me
13	now. You're getting ahead of me.
14	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: You can you can
16	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: So you said no supplement.
17	I'm just reminding you. You looked at the court cases and
18	said no supplement.
19	MR. JACOBS: That's not an accurate statement.
20	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay. What did he say?
21	MR. JACOBS: He said that we were late.
22	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay. We have a difference
23	of opinion.
24	MR. JACOBS: And that the most that we could do was
25	present it as rebuttal. You never got into the substance of

1	the Daubert test as to whether the Abel testing is acceptable.
2	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: He's refreshing my
3	recollection, and you offered him a chance then to justify his
4	rebuttal. He said it should come in as direct. You said no,
5	it will only be on rebuttal, and I'll consider it at the end.
6	I think that is correct.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you have a citation to my order?
8	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I don't have it
9	MR. JACOBS: I have it right here.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm talking about just the number
11	I want, the M number or something.
12	MR. JACOBS: 16M-31.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you. Thank you. I saw
14	something that was a supplement in one of the volumes.
15	MR. JACOBS: Well, most recently, we supplied the
16	declarations to go with the letters.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, this has nothing to do with the
18	letters. There was something supplemental.
19	MR. JACOBS: Well, back in
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: '91?
21	MR. JACOBS: No, in September
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.
23	MR. JACOBS: we did file the Abel results.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: And that was in a supplement form?
25	MR. JACOBS: In a supplement. And you rejected

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: But it's someplace in one of these
2	books, either his or yours. I mean, I saw it. I saw a
3	supplement.
4	MR. JACOBS: We did not submit the anything on
5	Abel in our direct case exhibits.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Because I said you were late?
7	MR. JACOBS: Right.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, okay, let's break for lunch,
9	and we can respectively take a look at our volumes and see
10	what maybe I'm mistaken, but I don't I swear I saw it.
11	I swear I absolutely am sure that I saw something called a
12	supplement. And I didn't get into the meat of it, but it was
13	something about a supplement of a report.
14	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: It should
15	(Simultaneous speaking.)
16	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: our exhibits if it was a
17	supplemental answer.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Well, okay. Let's just
19	break for lunch.
20	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Can we go 1:15 or 1:30?
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: What time is it now?
22	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Five after 12:00.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: 1:15. How does that tie in with
24	your TV testimony?
25	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: We're going to start testing

1	it at 1:00. Can we just go 1:20?
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, 1:20 is fine.
3	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Does that work? That's under
4	the hour and 15 that you asked for earlier.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Now is this witness
6	finished?
7	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Yes. Well, she's going to
8	be called as rebuttal tomorrow.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's going to be tomorrow.
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, right. So I'm done today?
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Yes, you're done today, but
12	you're still under oath.
13	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: And I don't want you talking about
15	your testimony with counsel.
16	THE WITNESS: Absolutely.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: You can talk to him about anything
18	else.
19	THE WITNESS: Absolutely.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Then I have five after 12:00
21	by one of these working clocks.
22	MR. OSHINSKY: Yeah, that's correct.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: And we're in recess until 20 after
24	1:00. Thank you.
25	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the

1	record at 12:05 p.m. and resumed at 1:25 p.m.)
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, we are on the record and we
3	are ready to proceed. And this is the Government's witness.
4	Is that correct?
5	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Correct. Thanks, Your Honor.
6	(Off microphone comments.)
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, please no. It's too too
8	hot. Okay. Everybody here? Okay. I am going to administer
9	the oath, but you can stay seated. Did you hear me? Am I
LO	getting
L1	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I think they said a little bit
L2	of a delay. Tammie, can you hear us?
L3	(No response.)
L4	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I guess she can't. She could
L5	hear me before.
L6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Let's go off the record.
L7	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
L8	record at 1:26 p.m. and resumed at 1:32 p.m.)
L9	WHEREUPON,
20	TAMMIE GREMMINGER
21	was called as a witness by Counsel for the Government and,
22	having been first duly sworn, assumed the witness stand, was
23	examined and testified as follows:
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: This is not your witness. This is
25	the Bureau's witness

1	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Correct.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: We are in effect we are taking
3	her out of order in order to get it accommodated. Well, no
4	because I do have to he is right. Mr. Jacobs is right.
5	I still haven't ruled on things like the
6	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Yes.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: The motions and that type of thing.
8	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: You haven't ruled on the
9	motion in limine. We would ask, Your Honor, that you take
10	this testimony as a proffer whether or not you disqualify her
11	is
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Fair enough.
13	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: As a witness, so I don't think
14	that there is a I think we would take her testimony today
15	either way.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Sure.
17	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay?
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, let's go. You are under
19	oath now, ma'am.
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Have you testified before?
22	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: Have you testified before?
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, I have.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: Not in this case, but in other

1	cases?	
2	-	THE WITNESS: Correct.
3		JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. I'm going to turn to you to
4	to the B	Bureau Counsel. You know him, I think. And we're
5	off to the 1	races.
6	М	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay, Ms. Gremminger, I'd like
7	you to turn	in the book we sent you to EB-Exhibit 2.
8		JUDGE SIPPEL: What page is that on? That's on
9	page tha	at's Tab
10	М	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Tab 2.
11		JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, I see now. I've got it.
12	I I	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: And that is a nine-page
13	exhibit. O	cay?
14	-	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
15	DIRECT EXAM	INATION
16	F	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
17	Q I	Do you recognize that as the testimony we prepared
18	in this hear	ring?
19	. A	Yes, sir.
20	Q A	And I apologize that I think it says in here
21	that you've	been a parole officer for 30 years. Could
22	Α 5	That that is correct.
23	Q (	Okay, that seemed correct. Correct?
24	A (	Correct.

Okay, and then it goes on to say that you're a ---

Q

1	you became a parole officer in 1993?
2	A That is correct.
3	Q Okay. With that correction, is this testimony true
4	and correct?
5	A Yes, sir.
6	Q Okay. I think that it's Mr. Jacob's witness, Your
7	Honor.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: You're tendering him for cross
9	examination?
10	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Tendering her for
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Or tendering her, rather.
12	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Yes.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Jacobs?
14	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay, on direct, afterwards
15	what we would like to do is go through the MOSOP Report. I
16	don't think that that that illegible part and she can help
17	us read it.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Let's make the appropriate motion
19	at the appropriate time. Right now we have this witness and
20	we're going to get through her.
21	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: But she's the she's the
22	witness that
23	(Simultaneous speaking.)
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: I know. She's not going to go
25	away.

away.

1	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: She's one of the witnesses to
2	help us. Okay.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, now go on Mr. Jacobs. Do you
4	want to move up here to it almost looks like the seat in
5	the Game of Thrones.
6	MR. JACOBS: Thank you.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, now so we have the
8	witness. There is going to be a little lag in the time that
9	she is going to respond. So I have to adjust to that myself,
10	just to warn you. Okay, so go ahead.
11	CROSS EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. JACOBS:
13	Q Thank you. Good afternoon, Ms. Gremminger.
14	A Good afternoon.
15	Q Using this video transmission is a new experience
16	for me and perhaps for you. So we will proceed carefully,
17	subject to correction. If you don't understand my question,
18	please tell me so. I will try to rephrase it or ask it more
19	plainly so that we can make certain that you do understand
20	what I have asked you before you answer me. Okay?
21	A Okay. Yes.
22	Q Likewise, if you do not hear what I have asked you,
23	please tell me so.
24	A Okay.
25	Q I will try to keep my voice up, but if I do not

- 1 speak loud, I will repeat it. Okay?
- 2 A Okay.
- Q I may --- if you need to take a break for any
- 4 reason, please let us know. You should feel free to ask for
- 5 a break. Okay?
- 6 A Okay. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q I am going to cross examine you based on the
- 8 Enforcement Bureau's direct case Exhibit No. 2 dated March 27,
- 9 2017 which is your direct case testimony. First I would like
- 10 to ask you are you familiar with research done by R. Karl
- 11 Hanson and Kelly Morton-Bourgon called Predictors of Sexual
- 12 Recidivism: an Updated Meta-Analysis?
- 13 | A No, sir.
- 14 Q What about a study done by R. Karl Hanson, Andrew
- 15 J.R. Harris, Leslie Helmus, H-E-L-M-U-S, and David Thornton
- 16 entitled High Risk Sex Offenders May Not be High Risk Forever?
- 17 A No, sir.
- 18 Q Okay. Turning to your direct case exhibit. In
- 19 paragraph one you describe yourself as a sex offender
- 20 specialist in the Missouri Department of Corrections. In
- 21 paragraph two you state that you joined the Probation and
- 22 Parole Department of the Missouri Department of Corrections
- 23 in 1993 as a parole officer in the position of sex offender
- 24 specialist. As a sex offender specialist, my primary
- 25 responsibilities involve supervising the probation and parole

of sex offenders. Only specially trained probation and parole officers supervise sex offenders, closed quotes. How did you qualify as a sex offender specialist?

A I initially went through an interview process with the department. After I was selected, after the interview, I was subject to additional courses, worked with our treatment providers, shadowed a probation parole officer that worked with sexual offenders and then I was given my own case load of sex predators to supervise.

- Q And how long did that training period take?
- 11 A I would say approximately over --- over a six-month 12 period.
- 13 Q Six months?
- 14 A Correct.

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

15 Q What does it mean to supervise sex offenders?

There are a lot of roles and duties. individuals placed on probation in the court. I supervise individuals placed on parole who are released from prison. I supervise individuals that are released, civilly committed for And I supervise individuals on what we call sex crimes. lifetime supervision. Ι supervise their conditions of probation and parole and implement them. Ι work with therapists, law enforcement, courts, victims, community, additional things in the community such churches, schools. I work with polygraph examiner, any kind

1	of tools we ha	ave available to assess and address offenders to
2	be successful	computer monitoring, GPS, polygraph testing.
3	I do home vis	its.
4	At	the clients homes I families, friends,
5	individuals	they are dating, significant others, family
6	members. I w	ork with the chief law enforcement officials in
7	conducting ho	ome visits, random searches of residences and
8	attend group	staffings with regards to clients. I attend
9	court hearing	gs, revocation hearings, testify on different
10	aspects of se	x offenders.
11	JU	DGE SIPPEL: Is that enough?
12	(S	imultaneous speaking.)
13	JU	DGE SIPPEL: That sounds good enough. Mr.
14	Jacobs?	
15	RESUME CROSS	EXAMINATION
16	ВУ	MR. JACOBS:
17	Q Ok	ay. Have you ever supervised Michael Rice?
18	A No	, sir.
19	Q Sa	y again?
20	A No	, sir.
21	Q Ye	s. Would you describe when and
22	JU	DGE SIPPEL: Wait, did you hear the question?
23	(S	imultaneous speaking.)
24	JU	DGE SIPPEL: Oh, I'm sorry. I go ahead. Go
25	ahead.	

1		MR. JACOBS: You answered
2		THE WITNESS: I never supervised him. My partner
3	at the tim	e he was on parole supervised him.
4	RESUME CRO	SS EXAMINATION
5		BY MR. JACOBS:
6	Q	Did you say then you did not supervise him?
7	А	That is correct.
8	Q	All right, you did not supervise him. And your
9	partner Mi	ssy Cruse was did supervise him? Right?
10	А	That is correct.
11	Q	And what was the period of that supervision by
12	Missy Crus	e?
13	A	I believe from the time he was released on parole
14	he pard	oled from the institution on December 29th, 2019
15	Q	Now, 1999.
16	А	1999, I'm sorry. And I believe she supervised him
17	up until M	r. Loudon took charge of his case in 2002
18	Q	Okay. And then his parole ended in August 2002.
19	A	Correct.
20	Q	So that would have been a short period by Mr.
21	Loudon, I	guess.
22	A	Yes, sir.
23	Q	In paragraph 2 you state that you attended and
24	graduated i	from the St. Charles County Law Enforcement Academy.
25	What was y	our period of attendance?

1	A When I completed college I attempted to get hire	:d
2	with the Missouri Board of Probation and Parole. They had	a
3	job freeze. I began working at the St. Charles Sheriff'	s
4	Department. I went through their police academy. I also	. –
5	during attending the police academy I worked as a correctiona	ιl
6	officer as the deputy sheriff in the in jail at St	· •
7	Charles County Sheriff's Department.	
8	Q I'm sorry. You used language a little bi	.t
9	different from your statement which says St. Charles Count	У
10	Law Enforcement Academy. Is that the same as the polic	:e
11	academy?	
12	A Police academy, yes. I'm sorry.	
13	Q And how long was that course?	
14	A It was over a year.	
15	Q A year?	
16	A Mm-hmm.	
17	Q And	
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: You have to answer yes or no.	
19	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, yes, sir.	
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Let me ask you this too now whil	.e
21	I'm talking here. What happened to Missy Cruse?	
22	THE WITNESS: Her husband worked at Boeing	· <b>-</b>
23	currently, right now? Or then?	
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, I I say what where i	.s
25	she now? Missy Cruse? Where?	

1		THE WITNESS: Oh, she's at she left our agency
2	several tim	nes and then came back. She is a supervisor now.
3		JUDGE SIPPEL: And she's not available to testify
4	to this?	
5		THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, nobody asked her to
6	testify.	
7		JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. But she is available?
8	You don't k	now about
9		(Simultaneous speaking.)
10		JUDGE SIPPEL: But she is available in the sense
11	that she's	with the organization?
12		THE WITNESS: Correct.
13		JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Go ahead.
14	RESUME CROS	S EXAMINATION
15		BY MR. JACOBS:
16	Q	The St. Charles County Police Academy, so does this
17	mean that y	ou were studying with police officers?
18	A	It was academy for individuals that were
19	Q	Would you say that again?
20		MS. FUNK: Ask her if she can hear us.
21		MR. JACOBS: Can you hear us?
22		JUDGE SIPPEL: Can you hear us, Ms. Gremminger?
23		(No response.)
24		JUDGE SIPPEL: No, we're getting can you hear
25	us now?	

1		(No response.)
2		(Pause.)
3		MR. JACOBS: Are you hearing us now?
4		THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
5	RESUME CROS	SS EXAMINATION
6		BY MR. JACOBS:
7	Q	Okay. I was talking about your course work at the
8	Law Enforce	ement Academy. Can you tell me the period of time
9	the yea	ars? What year did you graduate?
10	A	It was in '92 to early '93.
11	Q	'92 to '93. Now, at the end of that course, did
12	you become	a sworn police officer?
13	A	Deputy sheriff's police deputy officer.
14	Q	A deputy police officer?
15	A	It was a sheriff's department. It is now a police
16	department,	but it was sheriff's department.
17	Q	And do you still hold such a title?
18	A	No, sir.
19	Q	No. So you're no longer a deputy police officer?
20	A	No, sir.
21	Q	No. Do you have a badge of any sort from your
22	current wo	ck as a sex offender specialist?
23	A	A departmental badge.
24	Q	Okay. Have you been issued a service weapon?
25	A	Yes, sir.

1	Q Yes?
2	A Yes.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, she said yes. Can I just
4	interrupt her a minute? How are you tendering this witness?
5	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Yes, sir she is an expert
6	local law enforcement sex offender specialist.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. You can proceed Mr.
8	Jacobs.
9	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. JACOBS:
11	Q You might have touched on this, but I would
12	appreciate it if you would tell us again the course of study
13	that you had at the law enforcement academy.
14	A It's a course that they offered that they paid when
15	I got hired on it was the police academy.
16	Q So it's was the standard course for future sex
17	offender specialists?
18	A No, sir. It was individuals going towards
19	attending to become a police officer.
20	Q Okay. Did you receive any academic degree or
21	certificate.
22	A The completion of the Academy.
23	Q And so what did the certificate say? Just Tammie
24	Gremminger completed the course at the St. Charles County Law
25	Enforcement Academy?

2	Q In paragraph five you state that you have attended
3	40 training courses from 1997 through 2016 as a sex offender
4	specialist. And in paragraph six you indicate that from this
5	training I have learned to utilize the criteria and tools
6	provided by the State of Missouri to assess the risks posed

Yes, sir.

- by sex offenders generally, and to recognize the specific risk factors that elevate the risks posed by certain types of offenders.
- We are now going to review the 40 courses that you took, one-by-one, and for each course I will ask you to tell us how the course taught you to assess the risks posed by sex offenders and to recognize risk factors. First course is sex offender supervision.
  - A That was a course I took with regards to how our department supervises individuals placed on probation and parole for sex crimes.
- Q And the next course I guess is the second day of the first course, Sex Offenders Supervision.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Now, you --- now, just a minute.

  You've asked her two, two-pronged answers. One was how it
  helped her what, diagnose the condition? Can you just repeat
  what you said? What are you asking her?
- MR. JACOBS: I'm asking you to tell us how the course taught you to assess the risks posed by sex offenders,

1

15

17

Α

Correct.

1	and to recognize risk factors.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, well would you break the
3	questions down that way?
4	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION:
5	BY MR. JACOBS:
6	Q Okay. So for this first course, Sex Offender
7	Supervision, would you please repeat what you said?
8	A In the first course, Sex Offender Supervision, it
9	entailed how to supervise individuals on probation and parole
10	and encompassed all points of assessment risks, working
11	with providers, treatments, programs, working with law
12	enforcement agencies.
13	Q All right. So you included in that taught you to
14	assess risks. That was part of it? Part of that training?
15	A Correct.
16	Q And the second day, I guess the same. What about
17	the next course? Child exploitation?
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: How do you assess the risks? In
19	other words, let's start with that.
20	THE WITNESS: Assess the risks of what they
21	children have been exposed to, how they've been manipulated,
22	groomed, accessed.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, what about recognizing
24	specific risk factors?

THE WITNESS: Risk factors that made it available

1	available for them to be chosen as victim.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Maybe you can ask the
3	questions that way?
4	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. JACOBS:
6	Q All right. MOCASA and Sexual Violence. How did
7	that teach you to assess risks?
8	A Over that training there are numerous courses that
9	we attended throughout the day. Again, each seminar had
10	different topics, ways to deal with individuals, lower their
11	risks, assess when they are in high risk, how to manage high
12	risk behavior.
13	Q What is MOCASA? It's an acronym. I don't know
14	what it stands for.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Just answer the question. You do
16	what what does it mean?
17	THE WITNESS: Missouri it is Missouri Coalition
18	Association of Sex Offenders.
19	MR. JACOBS: Missouri what's the next word?
20	THE WITNESS: Missouri Coalition Association of Sex
21	Offenders.
22	MR. JACOBS: Coalition? Coalition.
23	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
24	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
25	BY MR. JACOBS:

1	Q And in that course, how did it teach you to
2	recognize risk factors?
3	A Within that course there was different types of
4	groups we could participate in. We looked at different types
5	of sex offenders, different strategies we could use to assess
6	at risk level types of sex offenders.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: How many what how many types
8	of sex offenders are you talking about?
9	THE WITNESS: They would be anywhere from hands-on,
10	computer-related, adult sex crimes.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, we're trying to narrow it down
12	to crimes against children or young people. Did did that
13	help you at all in detecting risks, and et cetera?
14	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Well don't don't
16	tell us anything about the adults unless you have to.
17	THE WITNESS: Okay.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: I mean, because we have an adult
19	here who is the alleged perpetrator. But, you know what I'm
20	saying?
21	THE WITNESS: Absolutely. Yes.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Adult on adult doesn't count. Only
23	children.
24	THE WITNESS: Okay.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, well, go ahead.

## RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION

- 2 BY MR. JACOBS:
- Q The next course was Child Sexual Abuse. What did that teach you about assessing risks?
- A How individual children are subject to sexual abuse, what they endure, the factors in which to look at when interviewing and writing police reports with regards to areas of assessing the risks of --- not only of the nature of the offense, of how they were groomed and accessed.
- 10 Q Next, Sex Offender Registration. How did that 11 teach you to assess risks?
- offender registration 12 Α is individuals Sex on probation or parole who are placed --- convicted of a sex 14 They are required in the State of Missouri to register as sex offender. It engages risks and assessment based upon 15 they're providing accurate information to 16 enforcement such as their address, their phone numbers, their 17 vehicles they own and operate, where they work --- if they're 18 giving correct information within that documentation that is 19 provided, it keeps law enforcement and that's placed on the 20 internet. 21
- So part of my job entails filling out the sex offender registry form for clients and making sure that that is correct and knowing that any additional information that's changed, to report that to local law enforcement.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, Ms. Gremminger, your answers
2	don't have to be that long.
3	THE WITNESS: Okay.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: If you can just specify what the big
5	thing that you do with respect to each of these courses. What
6	did it prepare you for? Because we understand to some degree
7	I knew about what a registration means.
8	THE WITNESS: Okay.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay?
10	THE WITNESS: Okay.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: And he can follow you can answer
12	specific questions ask you specific questions if what
13	you're giving him is not enough. Okay, let's go.
14	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. JACOBS:
16	Q Next, Understanding and Assessing and Treatment of
17	Sexual Offenders.
18	A Again, looking at sex offenders, assessing risk.
19	Individuals on probation for sex crimes.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: What about treatment?
21	THE WITNESS: Noting risk of what's going on in
22	treatment and what high risk behaviors go on in treatment.
23	(Simultaneous speaking.)
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: You actually taught you're all
25	correlate the progression this person has made with the type

1	of of treatment they are receiving? Does it this hav	e to
2	do with psychological treatment?	
3	THE WITNESS: It is cognitive-approached ther	apy.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Cognitive-approach therapy?	
5	THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm.	
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you have any qualification	n in
7	that?	
8	THE WITNESS: I have a minor in psychology.	
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: And you any specific trai	ning
10	for it, you know, in the academy?	
11	THE WITNESS: No, sir.	
12	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I there may b	e a
13	misunderstanding, Your Honor. She doesn't do the treatm	ent,
14	she just monitors it.	
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: I understand that.	
16	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Oh, okay.	
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: I've asked my question was as	ked.
18	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Sure.	
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: You can't monitor what you d	on't
20	understand, can you?	
21	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I agree 100 percent, sir	· •
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Next question.	
23	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION	
24	BY MR. JACOBS:	
25	Q MOCASA Stalking?	

1	A That was with regards to individuals on supervision
2	for stalking individuals.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: I think we all know what stalking is.
4	You satisfied with that?
5	MR. JACOBS: Well, how does that relate? How does
6	that course relate to teaching you about risk assessment?
7	THE WITNESS: How individuals obsess about their
8	victims. What lengths they will go to seek out their victims,
9	record their victims, drive by their victims, take photos
10	different things of that nature.
11	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. JACOBS:
13	Q All right. Next Violence, Risk and Threat
14	Assessment of Sex Offenders.
15	A Again that shows the course is with regards to how
16	perpetrators can be violent and use forceful whether it
17	be verbal, physical force.
18	Q Next, Protecting You and Your Kids. How does that
19	relate to risk assessment?
20	(Pause.)
21	MR. JACOBS: Did you hear me? Uh-oh.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Have we lost you?
23	(Pause.)
24	MR. JACOBS: Can you hear me now?
25	THE WITNESS. Vec sir

1	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. JACOBS:
3	Q Okay. Protecting You and Your Kids, how does that
4	relate to risk assessment?
5	A Even with family members, victims of sexual offense
6	can protect their kids from sexual offenders.
7	Q I don't see anything about risk assessment in that.
8	A Taking the risk as far as not talking to strangers,
9	don't leave your children alone with somebody you don't know,
10	educating on
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, that's good enough for that
12	one. Let's try the next one.
13	MR. JACOBS: Sexual Predators on the Internet.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, well this case doesn't
15	involve any internet predatories does it? Predation, or
16	whatever it is.
17	MR. JACOBS: No, no.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Why don't we just skip by that one.
19	MR. JACOBS: FBI Internet Training and Supervision
20	of Sex Offenders in the Community.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: We don't need to worry about that
22	one. What now, what about SAI? That's interesting. Sex
23	Offender Invention.
24	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
25	BY MR. JACOBS:

1	Q Now what what does that mean? What is SAI?
2	A Sex Offender Inventory Test. It was a test that
3	we would utilize as an assessment that a sexual offender would
4	take a 500 questionnaire and then that questionnaire would
5	come back as an assessment of risk factors.
6	Q All right, so the word is not invention, it's
7	inventory?
8	A Correct, sir.
9	Q Right.
10	(Laughter.)
11	JUDGE SIPPEL Did you take that from some kind of
12	a textbook? Somebody may want to correct the textbook. Okay,
13	let's go.
14	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. JACOBS:
16	Q MOSATSA Annual Conference what's MOSATSA?
17	A That's an annual conference that's comprised of
18	survey control through cross-community training for law
19	enforcement and different outside agencies. We've had the
20	conference held to discuss individuals, sexual offenders,
21	risks to the community and assessing risk.
22	Q Youth Violence Prevention Conference.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: I think you can let that one go.
24	THE WITNESS: Yes.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: Sexual predation, that's that's

1	a good one.
2	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. JACOBS:
4	Q What's that about?
5	A I don't recall that one, sir.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Now well, this was given you as
7	a course in the academy. Is that correct?
8	THE WITNESS: No, this was given all these are
9	within the Missouri Department of Corrections.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, is that part of the is the
11	well this is not offered by the academy, then? By
12	academy I mean is isn't it related to the police
13	department? The police academy?
14	(Pause.)
15	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay, the top she will be
16	back one second. I might be able to clarify this is
17	paragraph five of the testimony. It says where she got these.
18	She got these as a parole officer after she left the police
19	academy. That's it right in the testimony.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
21	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Ronny will be right back.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: So it's not a formal education kind
23	of a thing? It's just a pick it up as you go?
24	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: More like CLEs, I think.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, okay. Well, I want to go back

1	and ask about that one. Because sexual well, never mind.
2	MR. JACOBS: Are you hearing us now?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, I'm sorry. My gosh, I ought to
5	be careful what I say.
6	(Laughter.)
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Sexual predation seems to be a very
8	significant thing in the case of someone like Mr. Rice as
9	as a category. And you can't recall anything about that
10	course or whatever it was? Program?
11	THE WITNESS: Predation I'm not I'm not
12	understanding that wording. So
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, that's your answer. So
14	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. JACOBS:
16	Q What about criminal behavior research?
17	A That course is based on sexual offenders, their
18	criminal behavior. As far as risk assessment, process.
19	Q Human trafficking?
20	A That course is was based upon individuals,
21	children that were engaged in human trafficking.
22	Q How does that relate to risk assessment?
23	A The risk of how sexual offenders get access to
24	them. How they are able to manipulate, groom them and use
25	them in child trafficking cases.

1	Q GPS, Global Positioning System?
2	A That's a device that we utilize on individuals
3	placed on probation or parole to monitor their behaviors and
4	activities.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Well, when the does
6	this go beyond does that go beyond just the person's
7	location? You'll know where the person is
8	(Simultaneous speaking.)
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: No?
10	THE WITNESS: It's the person's tracking the
11	person's location at any time.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: And is that it? Is that all it is?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
15	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. JACOBS:
17	Q Strength and unity, coming together to protect
18	children and families. How does that relate?
19	A That course that was developed on as community
20	and inter-departments coming together to protect children and
21	ways of protecting them from sexual offenders.
22	Q The next one I think I get. Understanding and
23	interviewing sex offenders. That's pretty clear. But after
24	that, stalking.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: We had stalking before, didn't we?

1	MR. JACOBS: Well, we had yes, MOCASA Stalking, and
2	now five years later we have stalking. Risk assessment?
3	THE WITNESS: That is defined is how an individual
4	stalks an individual. Sexual offenders stalk their victims
5	to get access to them.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: What about okay, one about
7	internet crimes we don't need that. The next one is
8	go ahead. It was sex offenders providers yes.
9	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. JACOBS:
11	Q Sex offenders, providers and supervision.
12	A That's where training and working with
13	treatment providers and engaging in supervision to come up
14	with strategies to lower risk, and to assess if a individual
15	who is high risk and how to go therefore.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Sex offender providers? You mean
17	the the criminal act of sexual offenders? They they
18	do the providing?
19	THE WITNESS: Who is doing the providers the
20	treatment providers who work as a team.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL Wait a minute, so this doesn't apply
22	to the individual actor? This applies to the people who are
23	supervising? Or who are
24	MR. JACOBS: Training
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: What do you mean by provider?

1	THE WITNESS: Myself and the treatment providers,
2	working to come up with tools of assessing
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: All all right
4	THE WITNESS: managing offenders.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, I understand now. Okay.
6	MR. JACOBS: Criminal behavior research?
7	JUDGE SIPPEL That would be irrelevant. Too broad
8	a topic. Treating compulsive behavior?
9	(Pause.)
10	MR. JACOBS: Now how does that fit in?
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Did we lose it? Hi?
12	THE WITNESS: Individuals
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, please compulsive behavior.
14	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: They skipped down to the one
15	that says treating compulsive behavior.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
17	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: How does that teach you to do
18	risk?
19	THE WITNESS: Determining if a offender is a
20	compulsive.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: You're able to make that assessment?
22	Or the person is given to you or tended to you or assigned to
23	you as this kind of this person has a compulsive, whatever
24	it is, disease or
25	THE WITNESS: Whether reading it through the

1	therapist or acknowledging from what I've learned to see
2	compulsive behavior. As far as the length of time they call
3	me, the length of time they engage in deviant behavior.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: You can make that you can make
5	that assessment independently?
6	THE WITNESS: As a team.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Who else would be on your team?
8	Missy?
9	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Missy? Missy?
11	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I didn't understand that.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well you said at the beginning that
13	the person who had the most contact with Mr. Rice was a woman
14	named Missy and I forgot the last name.
15	MR. JACOBS: Cruse. Cruse, Your Honor.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Cruse. Did we lose
17	(Simultaneous speaking.)
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, I'm sorry. Did we hear you?
19	Hello?
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Did you not hear my question?
22	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, that's fair enough. You
24	testified earlier that Missy Cruse had had the most
25	contact with Mr. Rice in your office.

1	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. When you talk about your
3	team, okay, there is you and the other person was it Missy
4	Cruse?
5	THE WITNESS: Just I'm just talking in general.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL Well, okay, let me talk to you
7	you may answer this question separate. Specifically, who was
8	your team? Identify each person on your team and what they
9	do?
10	THE WITNESS: Currently?
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, back in the days when you were
12	concerned with Mr. Rice.
13	THE WITNESS: Okay.
14	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Did you hear the question?
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: We are waiting for an answer. Who
16	was the identify the people who were on your team.
17	(Pause.)
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Lost again.
19	MR. JACOBS: No, her thumbs are moving. Do you
20	hear us?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. I was answering the
22	questions as it pertains to not Mr. Rice's case. I was
23	looking at everything generally as what I do.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, that's still my
25	question is, you made reference to a team. Are you hearing

		470
1	me?	
2		THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
3		JUDGE SIPPEL: Who was on the team? It's yourself
4	and who els	se?
5		THE WITNESS: Currently it's myself and treatment
6	providers,	law enforcement officials.
7		JUDGE SIPPEL: Three of them?
8		THE WITNESS: No, there's prosecuting attorneys,
9	judges, vic	ctims' advocates, community.
10		JUDGE SIPPEL: And would
11		THE WITNESS: So there is a lot of people on within
12	the team.	
13		JUDGE SIPPEL: The team, now. We're talking about
14	T-E-A-M.	
15		THE WITNESS: Correct.
16		JUDGE SIPPEL: And so okay, I I I think
17	I understar	nd what you're saying. But what was the makeup of
18	that team a	at the time that you were involved with Mr. Rice?
19		THE WITNESS: The time I was involved with Mr.
20	Rice. The	same type of team.
21		JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you.
22	RESUME CROS	SS EXAMINATION
23		BY MR. JACOBS:
24	Q	The next item seems to duplicate one that is three

It has the exact same wording.

items above.

25

So I assume we

1	can delete it. Yes?
2	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: If it's got a different date,
3	it's a different training.
4	MR. JACOBS: No, it has the exact same date.
5	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Oh, okay.
6	MR. JACOBS: Sex offender, providers and
7	supervision, 4-16-2007.
8	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay.
9	MR. JACOBS: That is a duplicate, right?
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Now, don't ask her whether it is.
11	You you've testified to this. Move on. I'm sorry Ms.
12	Gremminger.
13	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: We can stipulate to that, sir.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: You don't need to.
15	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. JACOBS:
17	Q Next item is prevention and intervention
18	conference.
19	A That's a course of how to, as officers, to engage
20	in preventing and assessing risks before victims or actions
21	are taking what interventions we can pose to limit high
22	risks from engaging in.
23	Q All right. Next one is sex offenders supervision,
24	which I assume is similar to the one from 1997. Next,
25	enhancing response to sexual assault victims. How is that

1	relate to 1	risk assessment?
2	A	That one was
3		(Pause.)
4	Q	I'm sorry, I didn't catch that answer.
5		(Pause.)
6	Q	Do you hear me? Hello?
7		(Pause.)
8	Q	I was asking about enhancing response to sexual
9	assault vio	ctims. How does that relate to risk assessment?
10	A	That does not.
11	Q	It does not? Okay. Sex offender management
12	I'll pass o	on that one. Next, remote computer monitoring for
13	sex offende	ers? How does that relate to risk assessment?
14	A	It pertains to assessing individuals on computers
15	and monito	ring risk and assessment because they're on a
16	computer-mo	onitoring device.
17		JUDGE SIPPEL: Did you ever use a computer or
18	monitoring	device with respect to Mr. Rice?
19		THE WITNESS: No.
20		JUDGE SIPPEL: Next one.
21	RESUME CROS	SS EXAMINATION
22		BY MR. JACOBS:
23	Q	Total access GPS online training?
24	A	Again, that's the equipment for our GPS
25	monitoring	a client.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, we talked about that before.
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Go to the next one.
4	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. JACOBS:
6	Q Child annual prevention conference.
7	A That is with regards to a conference regarding
8	children of sexual abuse, what they've endured victim
9	empathy. That does not pertain to risk assessment sex
10	offenders.
11	Q Next one. The words confuse me. Community sex
12	offender treatment provider?
13	A Correct. That was a training in which we co-
14	facilitated with treatment providers and working with
15	individuals on probation and parole sex crimes going
16	through what types of programs they go through, what's
17	expected, what components of group group programs,
18	homework, how they determine risks and assessments.
19	Q Next de-sensitized to death: the lethality,
20	assessment and prosecution of strangulation.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Let's pass on that one.
22	MR. JACOBS: We yes.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: Domestic violence
24	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
25	BY MR. JACOBS:

25

Τ	Q Domestic violence, now does that relate to risk
2	assessment?
3	A With regards to how they got access to their
4	victims, means in which they manipulated, groomed, forced
5	their victims.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL What about the next one?
7	Strengthening partnerships?
8	THE WITNESS: Strengthening organizations within our
9	department and agencies that we work with on a daily basis to
10	reach the common goals of making the community safe with
11	regards to reducing the amount of sexual crimes posed against
12	children.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you do you ever recall
14	would you know, was there ever any complaints or advice from
15	the community with respect to Mr. Rice? Either good or bad?
16	Do you understand the question?
17	THE WITNESS: Complaints?
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, complaints or just advice.
19	If someone calls you up and says I want you to know about
20	this.
21	THE WITNESS: I know that there were neighbors
22	reporting that there were children coming and going from the
23	residency.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL What did you do what would you
25	do in reaction to that?

1	THE WITNESS: Conduct home visits.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: And what did you find out? Talking
3	about Mr. Rice now.
4	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm talking about Mr. Rice.
6	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: So, you what exact did your
8	home visits what transpired at the home visits? What
9	results did you get?
10	THE WITNESS: Nothing that I recall of looking at
11	his what means he had accessible to gain or groom children
12	to come within inside of the residence. Found out that he had
13	a male individual that was living within his basement that we
14	were not aware of. He had not disclosed
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: When was that? Do you know,
16	roughly?
17	THE WITNESS: I do not recall.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, all right. All right.
19	MR. JACOBS: Well, just prior to his incarceration?
20	THE WITNESS: It was after his incarceration.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: It was after his incarceration?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: So in other words, when he was on
24	parole?
25	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Now, what were you told about
2	children going in and out of the house?
3	THE WITNESS: As seen by neighbors of individuals
4	going in and out of the house, decorations that were viewed
5	as items geared for children Halloween decorations,
6	Christmas decorations.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: And what what did you conclude?
8	THE WITNESS: They were present.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm sorry? They were present?
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
11	MR. JACOBS: Were these regarded as violations?
12	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
13	MR. JACOBS: So was any of what you described
14	treated as a violation?
15	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
16	MR. JACOBS: So it's just kind of irrelevant, isn't
17	it?
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I'm not convinced yet. If
19	there's a if there's a condition of I'm just asking
20	this as a general matter, if there's a condition of probation
21	that says that you can't have any contact with children, what
22	would happen or what would you have done in response to
23	the examples that you just gave about neighbors calling up and
24	saying that there's children going in and out of his house?
25	Do you

1	THE WITNESS: Providing local law enforcement with
2	the information obtained.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: You didn't have to do that in this
4	case?
5	THE WITNESS: No, that was done.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Was done?
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: When you say was done, who did it
9	and how was it done?
10	THE WITNESS: I believe Missy Cruse contacted local
11	law enforcement.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: And? And what happened then?
13	THE WITNESS: I don't know anything what
14	happened after that. But they were contacted.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: You don't have any kind of it
16	wouldn't be in his files? Something must somebody from
17	the police force went out there, assumedly. And don't they
18	write something up all the time about it? We went out there
19	and we found this, that or the other thing. You know what I'm
20	saying?
21	THE WITNESS: They are supposed to but I haven't
22	Or I have nothing documented on that.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I I mean, it almost sounds
24	like you have the wrong person on the stand.
25	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Your Honor, her testimony is

1	what it is. The she's what we have.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, but where is Missy?
3	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: We didn't offer her as
4	directly having supervised Mr. Rice. We
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: But she did. She called the police
6	on him.
7	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay. We called Missouri law
8	enforcement and asked about getting a local risk assessment
9	on a sex offender, and we got Ms. Gremminger. And they're who
LO	we said I didn't even know that Missy worked there again
L1	until today. So this is what we have, Your Honor. This is
L2	our case.
L3	(Pause.)
L4	JUDGE SIPPEL: I don't know. Okay, let's just keep
L5	going.
L6	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
L7	BY MR. JACOBS:
L8	Q Next course is the Vermont Assessment of Sex
L9	Offender Risk. How does that relate to risk assessment? I
20	understand that's a a test, right?
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Only for Vermont, though.
22	MR. JACOBS: It happens to be the name. I guess
23	it came from Vermont. But it's an assessment tool, right?
24	THE WITNESS: That is correct.

RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION

1	BY	MR.	JACOBS:

- And last, treating sex offenders --- we'll Q 2 leave that one --- we'll leave that one alone. Coming back to your statement. In paragraph seven you state that you have testified as an expert in the area of risk assessment of sex offenders, quote unquote, in cases in Missouri in which you offered your opinion of the risk of re-offense by sexual offenders convicted of similar offenses to those committed by Michael Rice in the instant case. How many such cases have you testified in? 10
- 11 Α They asked me within the last four years how many times I have testified, and I came up with 25 different cases 12 that I've testified. 13
- But did those involve --- that was a total of 25 14 cases, right? 15
- Α 16 Correct.

17

- What about --- how many of those 25 related to similar offenses to those committed by Michael Rice? 18
- Majority of those cases. 19
- 2.0 You also state that you have testified approximately 25 cases in the last four years that address sex offenders that committed crimes against children. 22 case you address the risk of re-offense. All right, how many 23 of the 25 involved offenders who had completed their prison 24 25 terms, 17 or more years before?

1	A Within that category, I when they asked me for
2	in the State of Missouri we testify on probation and
3	parole cases with regards to individuals coming out of prison,
4	we write a report to the Parole Board with our
5	recommendations.
6	Q Right, but those are ex-convicts who are just
7	coming out of prison. What about 17 years later? Have you
8	been writing any reports for such ex-convicts?
9	A I didn't calculate that. I wasn't asked to do
10	that. But I would say they were half half my caseload is
11	parolees coming out of prison.
12	Q Right. And then the other half maybe one, two,
13	three, four, five years. How many be 17 years. This must
14	be a very unusual case, isn't it?
15	A No. Mr. Rice's?
16	Q Yes.
17	A No.
18	Q In other words what you're saying is that you have
19	had other cases where you have been asked to do a risk
20	assessment of someone who has completed a prison term 17 or
21	more years before.
22	A In the span of
23	Q In the span of 30 years?
24	A I've worked for the department 25 years.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: This is not going any place. Ask

1	your next question.
2	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. JACOBS:
4	Q What do you know about risk assessment where an ex-
5	offender is almost 76 years old and has not re-offended in 26
6	years?
7	A What have I known?
8	Q Yes, about risk assessment? What what can you
9	say about the the chances of re-offense when an ex-
LO	offender is almost 76 years old and has not re-offended in 26
L1	years.
L2	A A lot with regards to at face value you have
L3	to look at all the programs they've been through, the
L4	responsibility they've taken, the tests and the therapy. So
L5	it's not just one thing I'm looking at just their age.
L6	You have to look at it the whole picture to bring the
L7	puzzle together.
L8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well what about access to children?
L9	Wouldn't that be interesting to you?
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: And what happened in this case?
22	You've got the you were told by a a neighbor that
23	children were going in and out or, Missy was told that.
24	THE WITNESS: Correct.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: What happened? I mean, that would

1	really be interesting to me if I were in your job.
2	(Pause.)
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Did you hear me? Am I I'm
4	always getting cut off.
5	(Pause.)
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, I'm sorry. I'm back on. You
7	hear me?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. My question was, if you had
10	information about children going in and out of Mr. Rice's
11	home, wouldn't that have triggered your interest, or the
12	interest of your office? I know
13	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Just as and the
14	reading all the documentation back to you when he was
15	committed of his offense that children continue to come in and
16	out of his residence, there's no reports of that on from
17	law enforcement that was given to probation parole officer.
18	It was just stated by law enforcement officials.
19	So whether someone didn't want to get involved, or
20	if it was anonymous calls that people did not want to get
21	involved with.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, it seems to me that I
23	mean, of all the things that you hear you're talking about
24	going through records and this, that and the other thing
25	you've got a situation right right there. And nobody's

1	well yes, I guess I heard your testimony that they
2	Missy called law enforcement and law enforcement went out.
3	But that's the end of the trail. There was no report written
4	that you could find?
5	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Have you talked to Missy about this
7	at all recently?
8	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Why not?
10	THE WITNESS: I don't know. It was my impression
11	I was here to do a risk of assessment.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, what I am asking you is not
13	very clinical. I am simply asking you facts as to what
14	happened. And I would hope that you would be interested in
15	finding what the facts are before you came on, then, under
16	oath. But that's me, not you. This is very frustrating.
17	Well let me pass on to another thing and get it out
18	get it out of the way for me anyway. At one point you
19	were barred from communicating with us us being the
20	Commission. I'm not part of us, but of the with the
21	Enforcement Bureau because somebody had called and lodged a
22	complaint. Am I getting it right?
23	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you know do you have you
25	done any has anything more been done to find out who the

1	identity of this person is?
2	THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: You would be aware, wouldn't you?
4	THE WITNESS: No. They haven't put me in the loop,
5	so no.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, the loop again. Okay. Do you
7	have any questions?
8	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. JACOBS:
10	Q Oh, yes. In paragraph eight you state I remember
11	Michael Rice and I have reviewed his files and records in
12	order to refresh my recollection of his history, treatment and
13	test results as he passed through the parole and probation
14	process. My opinions are based upon my recollection of Mr.
15	Rice's performance during his parole and upon my review of
16	these records.
17	Now Ms. Gremminger we are talking about a parole
18	period for Mr. Rice that ran from January 2000 through August
19	2002, 15 or more years ago. And you testified at your
20	September 14, 2016 deposition that you only saw Mr. Rice once
21	during a home visit during that period.
22	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Objection, Your Honor, he's
23	mischaracterizing the testimony.
24	MR. JACOBS: How so?
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: Just point her to the testimony.

1	And then ask a then ask a specific fact a specific
2	question based on what is there.
3	(Pause.)
4	MR. JACOBS: I'm referring to pages 38 and 39 of
5	your deposition.
6	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: And I'd refer to pages 43 and
7	44 of her deposition where she attended group sessions with
8	Mr. Rice.
9	(Pause.)
LO	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do we have those depositions?
L1	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: We don't have copies of them,
L2	Your Honor. Maybe Mr. Jacobs does.
L3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Sir, do you have one other copy of
L4	the deposition?
L5	MR. JACOBS: No, I just have my own.
L6	JUDGE SIPPEL: You have one? Okay.
L7	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
L8	BY MR. JACOBS:
L9	Q Okay, the point I was making trying to make
20	is how many times did you ever speak to Mr. Rice? Now
21	what I think
22	(Simultaneous speaking.)
23	Q Pardon?
24	A I'm sorry, go ahead.
25	Q I'll leave it at that. How many times during that

1	two-and-a-half year period did you ever speak to Mr. Rice?
2	A I would say, it would be times Missy Cruse was out
3	of the office. Maybe one or two times. I don't have a copy
4	of what you're referring to.
5	MR. JACOBS: Well
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, it's your deposition
7	testimony, September 2016. And in that in that page 43
8	you said you attended group therapy sessions and I think
9	you said this before group therapy sessions where Mr. Rice
10	would be involved. Is that correct?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, and other group members that I
12	would supervise would be present at the group.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well I don't care about that. But
14	you were there. This is a this is a group therapy session
15	that was being who who was in charge of presenting the
16	group therapy session?
17	THE WITNESS: At the time Mark Robertson.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Robertson. That name sounds
19	familiar. Okay. And in the same paragraph you say and
20	your recollection of going to his home visits, Missy Cruse
21	talked to me about his case. Recollection of going to his
22	I gather that would be Missy Cruse's going to his home visits?
23	I'm having trouble with that sentence. But Missy
24	Cruse talked to you about his case. She did. You testified

to that. Well, what did she talk to you about it?

25

1	THE WITNESS: I don't remember. I'm not looking
2	at the form you are looking at.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: It's not a form. It's a deposition.
4	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: She doesn't have a copy of
5	it, Your Honor.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. I can't I can't do
7	anything about it.
8	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. JACOBS:
10	Q The point I'm trying to address is covered on pages
11	38 and 39 of the your deposition in which you say on page
12	38 so two-and-a-half years would be like 50 visits? Your
13	answer, it could be, yes. And you were present at one?
14	Answer, I can recall three times I was there. In all that
15	time, okay, you don't remember the dates I take it? No, I do
16	not.
17	Question and question, and when you were
18	let's say that one time you were inside or or three times,
19	and your partner is that supposed to be like, and I'm not
20	intending to be funny here, but the silent partner? Or were
21	you authorized or committed to speak as part of the we
22	were authorized to interject.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's her answer.
24	MR. JACOBS: Question
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: Her answer is we what?

Τ	MR. JACOBS: Question, and did you speak to Mr.
2	Rice? I don't recall ever speaking to him. And that's page
3	39 of this transcript.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, what's the question?
5	MR. JACOBS: Did you ever speak to Mr. Rice? And
6	the answer should be no. And
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: I don't think you have to tell her
8	the answer. I think she should tell her own answer.
9	MR. JACOBS: Well
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's the way it goes. You ask,
11	she answers.
12	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I think I object, Your
13	Honor. I think that they if 20 years later she says I
14	don't recall specific instances I met with him. That's not
15	necessarily a no.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's got nothing to do with the
17	question.
18	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Yes, it
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's got nothing to do with the
20	question.
21	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Now you then go on to say let
23	me see what it was here and those three visits were all
24	with Missy? And you say correct. And the question, you smile
25	whenever I say Missy. Is that not what you blank. Oh, no,

1	no, no, that's blank, blank. All right, were you
2	ever alone with Mr. Rice during these visits? And your answer
3	is no.
4	MR. JACOBS: Okay, Your Honor
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: It's Missy is again that's just
6	the thing that I'm bugged about.
7	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay, we are not offering her
8	as Mr. Rice's parole officer. We are offering her as a local
9	law enforcement sex offender risk assessor. There is a big
10	difference and he is
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: So she's not a fact witness?
12	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: She has a little bit of
13	factual knowledge, but
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: You don't hear my question.
15	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: She's not being tendered as a fact
17	witness?
18	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: No.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: So anything she says
20	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Primarily she is a local law
21	enforcement risk assessor.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: And you're saying that this this
23	is your expert on risk assessment?
24	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: One of two.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well

1	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: We have a doctor a
2	psychology doctor tomorrow.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Is that Dr. Weitl?
4	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Correct.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well that's not a all right, I
6	I am better informed now. I'm better informed.
7	MR. JACOBS: Well let me continue my
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm going to. I'm going to. But
9	I'm just bothered by this I mean, in a sense, she doesn't
10	know what she's talking about.
11	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Well, she's been through the
12	file.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Been through the file.
14	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Correct.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: She's talked to Missy.
16	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Correct.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: But we don't know where she is,
18	except she's in the office someplace.
19	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Correct.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: And has she ever done a risk
21	assessment on this Mr. Rice?
22	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: She did. That's what her
23	testimony is about her written testimony.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's as far as it goes, though?
25	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Correct.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, well let's keep going
2	then. See if we can move it along.
3	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. JACOBS:
5	Q So my question is, you testified at your September
6	14, 2016 deposition that you only saw Mr. Rice once during a
7	home visit during that period, did not speak to him and did
8	not have any notes about that visit or the two other times you
9	went to his home with Missy Cruse but did not go inside during
10	the two-and-a-half year period. Is it your testimony that
11	given all of these facts and your statement in paragraph two
12	that you have supervised more than 2,000 sex offenders over
13	the last 30 years, that you actually remember, quote unquote,
14	Mr. Rice and you have a recollection, quote unquote, of his
15	performance, quote unquote, during his parole?
16	(Simultaneous speaking.)
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: And what's the answer?
18	MR. JACOBS: That's the question.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh. Go ahead, I'm sorry. Repeat
20	your answer. Repeat your answer.
21	THE WITNESS: Through reviewing every file I have
22	on him with regards to his offense criminal records,
23	everything in the institution, everything on his release,
24	that's the knowledge I have of him. This is the knowledge I
25	would have of any of the

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Fifteen minutes.
2	MR. JACOBS: Can you hear us? (Pause.) Hello?
3	Are you back with us?
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.
5	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. JACOBS:
7	Q Okay. When was the last time that you participated
8	in any examination of or discussion with Mr. Rice?
9	A I'm sorry, could you repeat that?
10	Q When
11	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Before he does, Your Honor,
12	could she repeat the answer to the prior question which got
13	cut off?
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well that's what I'm trying to get
15	at. What where do you stand on the prior question? Did
16	you get an answer?
17	MR. OSHINSKY: I believe she answered yes, Your
18	Honor.
19	MR. JACOBS: Well, I think what she
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Let's ask her what she answered.
21	Remember the last question? I mean, the one before the last
22	one.
23	THE WITNESS: Vaguely.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: Vaguely.
25	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION

1	BY MR. JACOBS:
2	Q Reworded it essentially was how could you recollect
3	recollect his performance after 15 or more years and 2,000
4	other people?
5	A Obtaining his records from the Department of
6	Corrections, police reports, MOSOP reports, victims
7	statements, participation reports, sex offender registry. All
8	documented official documents with regards to Mr. Rice's case,
9	sex offender therapy reports, sex offender evaluations that
10	were completed by two different people or actually three.
11	So those are the basis that I used as means of assessment of
12	risk.
13	Q All right. My next question then is when was the
14	last time that you participated in any examination of or
15	discussion with Mr. Rice?
16	A I would say back in two when he was on parole
17	with Missy Cruse and I was her partner.
18	Q I mean, sometime in 2002?
19	A Correct.
20	Q Was Michael Rice's case ever considered to be your
21	case?
22	A No, sir.
23	Q Were you ever officially his supervising probation
24	officer?
25	A No, sir.

1	Q	Did you actually have a file for Mr. Rice in your
2	office?	
3	A	No, sir.
4	Q	Did you ever prepare any written report of your
5	participati	on in Mr. Rice's supervision?
6	A	No, sir.
7	Q	Did you ever participate in any group meetings at
8	your office	e with respect to Mr. Rice?
9	A	I might have. I might have seen him in the
LO	probation c	office if his officer was out of the office.
L1		JUDGE SIPPEL: You might have what you might
L2	have? No,	we want to know what I want to know what you
L3	can remembe	er, not what you might have. If you can't remember,
L4	just say th	nat.
L5		THE WITNESS: I can't remember.
L6	RESUME CROS	SS EXAMINATION
L7		BY MR. JACOBS:
L8	Q	Or determinations with respect to his successful
L9	completion	of required sex offender treatment?
20	A	I'm sorry, what's the question?
21	Q	Whether you participated in any meetings in your
22	office with	h respect to determinations with respect to his
23	successful	completion of required sex offender treatment?
24	A	No, sir.
25	Q	What about discussions of his progress?

1	A At the time he was on parole, yes.
2	Q Or changing his conditions of supervision?
3	A I do not recall.
4	Q Did you ever have any specific duties other than
5	serving as a backup home visit officer with respect to Mr.
6	Rice's supervision?
7	A Backup backup officer entails home visits,
8	therapy
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well answer the question first, is
10	that what you were? A backup?
11	THE WITNESS: Correct. So if she wasn't present
12	I would I would supervise clients.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm sorry, I didn't hear that. You
14	would supervise what?
15	THE WITNESS: I would supervise Mr. Rice if Mrs.
16	Cruse was not in the office.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: You would supervise Mr. Rice when
18	Ms. Cruse was not in the office. Is that right?
19	THE WITNESS: Correct.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: By way of supervision did you happen
21	to visit him and talk to him?
22	THE WITNESS: If he reported to the office I would
23	talk to him. But doing home visits, I would do them with
24	Missy Cruse. I would not initiate my own.

25

JUDGE SIPPEL:

So you were just kind of watching

1	the phone in Missy's absence? You don't have to answer that.
2	Now you do say this is in your testimony in the deposition.
3	On page 42 you were asked did you ever prepare any written
4	report of your participation of your supervision? And your
5	answer is no, sir. So I mean you you were never
6	reduced to writing at the time of the event what it is that
7	you did. You're just sitting here today doing the best you
8	can to recall.
9	THE WITNESS: To the questions you're asking me,
LO	yes.
L1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Your answer is yes for what I asked?
L2	THE WITNESS: Yes.
L3	JUDGE SIPPEL: How much more time do we have on
L4	this? I don't think we need much more.
L5	MR. JACOBS: Just about a page or two.
L6	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Go ahead.
L7	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
L8	BY MR. JACOBS:
L9	Q So we have your testimony today and your risk
20	assessment. What current tests or documentation support your
21	assessment?
22	A Numerous reports
23	Q Now, I say current tests or documentation. Not
24	things from 2002 or 1990.

I think to get a good grasp of an individual's risk

Α

25

1	to re-offe	end you have to look at everything. That is
2	everything	from the police reports from the onset of the
3	offense to	institutional adjustment, institutional programs,
4	community	progress, polygraph testing, parole release,
5	treatment p	providers your, the tests that were provided to
6	me to look	at to make a determination of risk.
7	Q	But isn't it true that your file is basically empty
8	of any info	ormation after 2002?
9	A	No.
10	Q	What is in
11	A	The file contains everything about Mr. Rice from
12	the onset o	of his charges. So
13	Q	I understand that, but
14		(Simultaneous speaking.)
15	A	into place.
16	Q	But that was long before 2002. I'm just saying,
17	if you asse	embled all the papers in your file and you put the
18	most recent	paper at the top, wouldn't the date on that paper
19	be somethin	ng like 2002?
20	A	I would say so, yes.
21	Q	Yes, there is nothing after 2002?
22	A	I do have a sex offender registry that is something
23	that I add	ed.
24		JUDGE SIPPEL: What's the date of that?
25		THE WITNESS: Right after you 2015 and I read

1	a a sexual evaluation that was completed. I looked into
2	with regards to Mr. Rice's registration, if it was in
3	compliancy.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: And what was the date on that? You
5	say was that what
6	THE WITNESS: It was maybe February or March of
7	2015.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: So it was March 2015, is that the
9	last date for an entry in your file?
LO	THE WITNESS: Yes, that I was looking at.
L1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I haven't asked about what you
L2	were looking at. But are you are you familiar with that
L3	file?
L4	THE WITNESS: Yes.
L5	JUDGE SIPPEL: And can you tell me your
L6	testimony is that the last entry as you go through the
L7	with registration is 2015?
L8	THE WITNESS: Correct.
L9	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
20	THE WITNESS: His file was closed out by our
21	department in August 2002.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: What's the significance of that?
23	Closed?
24	MR. JACOBS: That's when his parole ended.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: But there still but there still

1	is follow-up? I get after a file is closed, you have to
2	do certain follow-up things. Is that correct?
3	THE WITNESS: No, sir. His file was closed and I
4	was contacted by the FCC to review sex offender evaluations
5	that was completed on him, to give my expert opinion on them.
6	And we detect high risk behaviors. And
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: This is what the Bureau asked you
8	do to? Is that right? For this trial?
9	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. And but you said
11	your last official entry well, I you didn't use the
12	word official. But the last entry had to do with something
13	that you supervised. And that is the report. The
14	registration report.
15	THE WITNESS: No, that came about from reading the
16	evaluations that I enquired to do my own investigation as far
17	as registration if he was in compliance with registration.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: I see.
19	THE WITNESS: So that is something new. It was not
20	a part of the official records.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
22	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. JACOBS:
24	Q Did your superiors approve the contents of your

March 27 statement?

1	A	I'm sorry, did they what?
2	Q	Approve the contents of your statement? Your
3	exhibit.	
4	A	Approve it as far as what do you mean?
5		JUDGE SIPPEL: Let him get the answer. You're both
6	talking.	
7	RESUME CRO	SS EXAMINATION
8		BY MR. JACOBS:
9	Q	Please repeat your answer. Did they approve the
10	statement?	
11	A	Approve it as far as what?
12	Q	Say it's okay, you can submit it?
13	A	It wasn't something to submit to my subordinates.
14	Q	What about your superiors?
15	A	No.
16	Q	So you didn't submit it to them.
17	A	No.
18	Q	For their approval? Okay. Are you here in any
19	official c	apacity?
20	A	As far as
21	Q	As an official representative of the Department of
22	Correction	s?
23	A	Yes, I've been subpoenaed to be.
24	Q	You've been subpoenaed to come. But what what
25	title do y	ou come do you come with the

1	A Understanding as an expert witness and testifying
2	and making an opinion to risk assessment and sex offender
3	within our community.
4	Q But you have no superior who signed off on
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: She's answered that. Asked ar
6	answered. It's been asked and answered. Are we almos
7	finished here?
8	MR. JACOBS: Yes.
9	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. JACOBS:
11	Q In paragraph 12 of your statement you fault Mr
12	Rice for not taking a polygraph examination at the end of hi
13	parole. But you failed to state that Mr. Rice has showed u
14	for the test with a note from his attending Psychiatrist
15	Wayne Stillings, M.D., stating that Dr. Stillings felt tha
16	Mr. Rice should be excused because he was under clos
17	psychiatric supervision. Given those circumstances, the
18	polygraph technician, Sam Yarbrough, declined to administe
19	the test to Mr. Rice. Were you aware of these facts?
20	A Can you provide me the date again? Of the
21	polygraph?
22	Q No, I don't have the it would be near the er
23	of his probation. I assume some time in 2002.
24	(Pause.)
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: Did you ever I'm sorry, I'

1	going to talk. Mr. Knowles-Kellett, do you have the results
2	of the Yarbrough
3	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: That's a different polygraph,
4	Your Honor. He's asking about one that he was supposed to
5	take
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: I understand that. But do you have
7	it in here?
8	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Yes, the earlier ones in
9	here.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: This is the last one that he did?
11	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: The last one that he did is
12	Exhibit 4.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's good enough.
14	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: It's towards the beginning.
15	Page 4.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you.
17	(Pause.)
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, we don't have a date on here.
19	MR. OSHINSKY: There is a date.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: It is?
21	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: It's a reference to the June
22	something or other
23	MR. OSHINSKY: The very first sentence.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: June 6.
25	MR. OSHINSKY: June 6, 2000.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, that's a nice place to put a
2	date. So we one item we have from Yarbrough is June of
3	2000. And the one that he that was not taken would have
4	been after that. Is that correct? Or have we lost you?
5	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Can you hear us, Tammie?
6	(No response.)
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Why do I always get on the end of
8	this thing?
9	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I think it's bad luck, sir.
10	PARTICIPANT: Your voice must
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Maybe my voice does it. Okay. Bear
12	with me I'm just trying to help here. The last item we have
13	of a Yarbrough test is in June of 2000. Yes, June of 2000.
14	Do you recall the time frame for the next one that he that
15	was not given because of the situation?
16	THE WITNESS: I do recall that.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Approximately when was that?
18	THE WITNESS: It was in 2002.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you.
20	(Pause.)
21	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. JACOBS:
23	Q But you're not aware that he received a a
24	letter from Dr. Stillings that Mr. Rice should be excused?
25	A I was aware that a letter needed to be obtained and

1	the officer needed to discuss that with a physician to explain
2	to him that the necessity for the polygraph. Thereafter,
3	nothing was followed-up on.
4	Q Do you have any independent knowledge of any of the
5	other hearsay statements in paragraph 14 of your testimony
6	concerning Mr. Rice's history, all of which are derived from
7	the previously-mentioned records of the probation and parole
8	office prepared during 1994 to 2000?
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's biting off too much that
10	anybody can chew. Why don't you get down point-by-point.
11	Where what are you reading from? What are you reading
12	from?
13	MR. JACOBS: My script.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, script. Okay. Well, what are
15	the things that are listed there? Because she can't remember
16	all the hearsay to even know what it is. If you have an item
17	ask an item, ask an item.
18	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: These are all items, Your
19	Honor, that were before her involvement in the case. She was
20	just in the records.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well wait a minute don't start
22	skipping don't start suggesting answers.
23	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: They the things
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: I just tried I'm trying to get
25	the form of the guestions straightened out so the witness can

1	respond.
2	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I object of the question, Your
3	Honor. He is asking for her independent recollection of
4	things where she testifies I've reviewed the files that say
5	these things.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
7	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: So, it seems like he's wasting
8	our time.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'll sustain the objection.
10	(Pause.)
11	RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. JACOBS:
13	Q At the end of paragraph 16 of your statement you
14	say I'm also concerned that returning to being a radio station
15	owner would increase the risk that he, Mr. Rice, would fall
16	back into his old behaviors. Are you aware of the kind of
17	station that is the subject of this proceeding?
18	A I'm sorry. Can you repeat that end part?
19	Q Are you aware of what type of broadcast station is
20	the subject of this proceeding? There are many different
21	kinds of broadcast stations. Are you aware the particular
22	type that is the subject of this proceeding?
23	A The type of roles he'll be engaging in?
24	Q The type of radio station? You say he's you're

concerned about his return to being a radio station owner that

Τ	would increase the risk that he would fall back into his old
2	behaviors. Do you know what kind of station he is applying
3	for here?
4	A From official documentations, our capacity which
5	he held from '68 until his license was taken away. Anything
6	beyond that, I don't know what additional what he's
7	requesting for.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, the Witness doesn't have the
9	expertise to get into this.
10	MR. JACOBS: My problem is this, Your Honor,
11	implicit in that statement is the thought and and Ms.
12	Gremminger will correct me if I'm wrong, that Mr. Rice would
13	potentially become the licensee of a station which has a
14	studio, an office, program origination facilities, microphones
15	
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: She doesn't know well, I'm
17	assuming that she doesn't know anything about those things.
18	She knows about a station in the generic sense. But why don't
19	why don't you ask her the question straight on? Do you
20	know what a relay station is, Ms. Gremminger?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Explain what what your
23	understanding is.
24	THE WITNESS: Being in control of a radio station.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, a translator station

1	translator license.
2	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: You know what the term relay means?
4	THE WITNESS: No.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: It means when you're passing
6	something on. You know, you
7	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: The so apparent this was
9	this was a station that was going to be forwarding some other
10	station's broadcasting and it doesn't include a studio. Is
11	that right?
12	MR. JACOBS: That's right.
13	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Your Honor, I think that the
14	scope of this hearing is bigger than that, though. He's
15	implying like this isn't going to decide
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Can I get a factual answer out of
17	this witness?
18	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Oh, I'm sorry, I thought you
19	did have answer. That she did not understand it.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, I didn't get an answer. That's
21	what you're doing to me.
22	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay, I apologize.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: Because I'm on a link here which I
24	keep losing. Do you understand my question?
25	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: And do you have any knowledge of
2	what a transfer station does as I explained it? Or are you
3	learning it for the first time.
4	THE WITNESS: I'm learning it for the first time.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
6	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, okay, that's fine.
8	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Now
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Wait a minute. Wait a minute. Are
10	you satisfied, Mr. Jacobs?
11	MR. JACOBS: No, I
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: She doesn't know about it.
13	MR. JACOBS: There is an important flavor there
14	is an assumption by the two Bureau witnesses in this
15	proceeding that the station is going to be an attractive
16	nuisance.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well why don't you ask her
18	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: This is an argument for
19	proposed findings, Your Honor.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Wait a minute, it's just a question.
21	Why do you think going through all these? Ask the questions
22	straight on.
23	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: This is really an argument,
24	though. He's trying to argue this witness testified. She
25	knows nothing about the station.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Wait, that's not what's not what
2	he's getting at.
3	MR. JACOBS: Correct.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL Alls he wants to know is what does
5	she conceive what is her impression of what's going on at
6	this station?
7	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Well, we'll stipulate, Your
8	Honor, that she thinks he's getting a broadcast license and
9	will be a broadcaster again.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: And that's the okay.
11	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay? And that's what I think
12	is at issue in hearing and that to the extent the Mr.
13	Jacobs wants to narrow it to something else, I disagree with
14	it being narrowed and you'll hear from me in proposed findings
15	that I think that that's what the issue
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, I'm sure, but I know what he was
17	getting at.
18	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: I know does that satisfy you?
20	MR. JACOBS: What? Does what satisfy me?
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: The stipulation that she's
22	MR. JACOBS: No.
23	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: She's believes he's becoming
24	a broadcaster.
25	MR. JACOBS: No because she believes it's a

1	particular	kind of broadcast station which will be an
2	attractive	nuisance.
3		MR. OSHINSKY: How does Counsel know that?
4		MR. JACOBS: Because it is implicit in what she is
5	saying.	
6		MR. OSHINSKY: You never asked her that question.
7		MR. JACOBS: Okay.
8		MR. OSHINSKY: Have you?
9		MR. JACOBS: I asked her what did you mean
10	maybe the	are you do you have the capability of
11	repeating m	ny question?
12		COURT REPORTER: Yes, it will take me a couple
13	minutes to	actually play it back.
14		(Pause.)
15		JUDGE SIPPEL: Are you ready for me?
16		COURT REPORTER: Yes, did you want me to play the
17	question ba	ack?
18		JUDGE SIPPEL: No, no, no.
19		COURT REPORTER: Oh, okay.
20		JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm going to try to clear this up.
21	Are you rea	ady for me?
22		COURT REPORTER: Yes.
23		JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Do you hear me, Ms.
24	Gremminger:	P Hello?
25		(Simultaneous speaking.)

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Here is the question. Your
4	testimony says I am also concerned that returning to being a
5	radio station owner would increase the risk that he would fall
6	back into his old behaviors. What did you mean by that?
7	THE WITNESS: Behaviors of accessing children and
8	grooming children and the prestige myth taking on a role
9	a control, power; ability to gain access to children, parents
10	and their children.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: You mean by virtue of them listening
12	on the radio to what's going on? Or by virtue of
13	THE WITNESS: I mean by any means of him
14	broadcasting or his name coming about re-victimizes the
15	victims and it empowers him too. Yet he didn't take
16	responsibility for his offense, but giving him back what led
17	to his offenses in the first place empowers him.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: But this is not like internet.
19	You're thinking of internet like where you can directly
20	communicate with somebody? This is broadcasting.
21	THE WITNESS: I think by any means.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: By any means? But now what
23	is okay, then what is this old behavior that you're talking
24	about?
25	THE WITNESS: Behavior in which he engaged in

- deviant and sexual and inappropriate behaviors with young boys, means of attracting boys, and means and ability and access -- what he had access to. The prestige myth he had in the company. 5 JUDGE SIPPEL: This is -- you're talking about in 2017? 7 THE WITNESS: Correct. Now, he has a fancy red -- a fancy 8 JUDGE SIPPEL: red car I would think that that might be what you'd be more concerned about than a radio station. 10 11 THE WITNESS: He also has a fancy vehicle right now that he owns and operates, so I'm concerned about that. 12 I think anything to do with his previous lifestyle, whether 13 14 it be engaging in the same type of radio station, it still falls back on the precursors that led to his offensing 15 behavior. 16 But this is not the same --17 JUDGE SIPPEL: THE WITNESS: Because the radio station was a 18 factor that played a part in his offense. Any component of 19 working in a capacity -- whether form -- whether it be a 20
- JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. That's her answer. Next question.

different form or a different format, still poses a position

25 RESUME CROSS EXAMINATION

that he's back with the company.

21

22

1		BY MR. JACOBS:
2	Q	What is the fancy automobile that you assert that
3	Mr. Rice ha	as?
4	A	It's a vehicle that I would describe as one that's
5	a would	lure young boys to. He still continues to have a
6	2002 blue (	Corvette.
7	Q	You're asserting that he has a 2002 Corvette?
8		JUDGE SIPPEL: And it's blue.
9		THE WITNESS: He has that listed on his sex
LO	offender re	egistry.
L1		MR. JACOBS: All right.
L2		JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, all right. Can you move on to
L3	the next qu	uestion?
L4		MR. JACOBS: I'm I'm finished.
L5		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Few questions, Your Honor.
L6	EB Direct 1	L2
L7		JUDGE SIPPEL: Do we have 11 too? We have 10, I
L8	know.	
L9		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Eleven we asked
20		JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. That's all right. Yes. This
21	is 12.	
22		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: EB 12. Okay, Tammie, can you
23	hear me?	
24		THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
25		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay. The you testified

1	that you had looked at the Missouri Sex Offender Registration
2	as of when we had the interaction with Carter Law at the
3	beginning of this case regarding the Mr. Rice's employment.
4	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
5	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay, I'm showing the I'm
6	entering the 24-page document that you sent to us at that time
7	which you downloaded from the internet. Do you have a copy
8	of that there?
9	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
10	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay. Can you look at the
11	page on vehicles?
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: What page is it?
13	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I'm finding it, Your Honor.
14	It's after it's 13 or 14. Right.
15	To begin with there's a there's a page then there's 14
16	pages that comprises the next part.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: I see it. I don't see where the
18	numbers are.
19	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: And then you go one of one
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: I know.
21	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Two of one of one
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: It's one of fourteen. What page am
23	I looking for?
24	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: It's the last one with Mr.
25	Rice's picture.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: I see it. It's page one of one.
2	Yes.
3	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
5	Q Do you see that? Do you have that page? It
6	indicates he has a Corvette, a Ford Econoline and a Honda
7	Accord. Hi, can you hear us now?
8	A Yes, sir.
9	Q Okay. Can you go to the page where you were
10	reading what kind of car he has?
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q Okay, it indicates he has a Corvette, a Ford
13	Econoline and a Honda Accord?
14	A Yes, sir.
15	Q Okay, your answer is that as of when you downloaded
16	this document in 2015 he had those cars. Correct?
17	A Correct, and I also downloaded it April 19th, 2017.
18	Q Oh, okay.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Where is where is that one? Is
20	that before it? Or
21	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: No, I didn't download it that
22	day, Your Honor. I apologize.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: Never mind, Never mind.
24	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Because this is a basis
25	document and it's an official record, it's his sex offender

1	registry, I ask that it be entered into evidence.
2	(Whereupon, the above-entitled document was marked
3	as Enforcement Bureau Exhibit No. 12 for
4	identification.)
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Any objections?
6	MR. JACOBS: I well I would like to call Mr.
7	Rice to the stand to ask him if this is an accurate report.
8	We all know there are plenty of things that are filed which
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Why don't you just walk it down to
10	him and ask him? I don't want to take too much time here.
11	He's in the courtroom, so he can answer.
12	Sorry to be holding you up, Ms. Gremminger, but
13	it's okay?
14	MR. JACOBS: Yes.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. So we have it established
16	that this this is exactly the document that it is, that it
17	says it is. Now what's the question?
18	(Whereupon, the above-referred to document was
19	received into evidence as Enforcement Bureau
20	Exhibit No. 12.)
21	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
23	Q Ms. Gremminger?
24	A Yes, sir.
25	Q Would you turn to page 15 paragraph 15 of your

1	testimony? It's on page eight. Okay, I'd ask you to look
2	briefly at that paragraph paragraph 15. It's and if
3	I tell you that the document prepared by Dr. Ann Hively that
4	you testified about at your deposition was dated in 2015,
5	would that refresh your recollection that you had documents
6	in your file more recent than 2002?
7	A That is correct.
8	Q Okay. Other than the sex offender registries you
9	testified about?
10	A That is correct.
11	Q Do you recall a long discussion about him saying
12	he was drinking to Dr. Hively?
13	A That is correct.
14	Q Okay. And did you have concerns that he was still
15	drinking?
16	A Yes, I did.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, on what factual situation do
18	you base those concerns?
19	THE WITNESS: He was using his alcohol as a crutch
20	or or an excuse as to why he victimized his sexual
21	committed his sexual crimes against the teenage boys.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: But that's not I thought that
23	wasn't a current assessment. Currently you have no idea about
24	his alcohol situation. Is that correct, or what can you
25	tell me about it?

1	THE WITNESS: Based upon the assessment evaluation
2	it was reported that he was consuming alcohol. That is of
3	concern that he is consuming alcohol. That's slipping back
4	in the same pattern he did when he committed the crimes
5	against children.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: What is the same what is the date
7	the as-of date that you have that information in the
8	record.
9	THE WITNESS: The evaluation was conducted by Mr.
10	Hively in 2015.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Mister who?
12	THE WITNESS: Duncan Hively.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes. Dr. Duncan.
14	Okay, well was there anything in that indicates in that
15	report or any of that your current information that he was
16	an alcoholic as opposed to being just that he drinks alcohol?
17	THE WITNESS: No, but if he went through any form
18	of treatment for alcohol or binge drinking or alcoholism, one
19	drink or socially drinking is not acceptable.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: To who?
21	THE WITNESS: In these forms.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: To who is not acceptable.
23	THE WITNESS: To rehabilitation.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you have a is there a specific
25	regulation or rule in Missouri that says that that's the case?

1	Or what what are you basing your answer on?
2	THE WITNESS: Based on research and the treatment
3	of sex offenders. Alcohol is an inhibitor. Alcohol is used
4	at the time of the crime and a reason for the abuse, yet he
5	continues to drink. That is of significance.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well suppose he's just drinking a
7	glass of wine at dinnertime. Does that bother you?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Why?
10	THE WITNESS: That's no different than if I was
11	if he was viewing pornography. It's still
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well
13	THE WITNESS: The same risk factor.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I I I have a glass of
15	wine at night usually and I don't equate it with pornography.
16	And even if I were
17	THE WITNESS: However you're not a registered sex
18	offender who committed crimes against a child.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: But that automatically make me a
20	risk if I have one glass of wine? Because I have registered
21	as a sex offender?
22	THE WITNESS: No, but if you used that as a
23	component of your offense and any treatment of sex offenders
24	and if you're working the program and working the relapse
25	prevention of treatment of having no more future victims,

1	alcohol is not in the picture for a sex offender.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well when you say it's not in the
3	picture, it means you have to be total abstinence? No more
4	demon rum?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
7	THE WITNESS: It's a trigger.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
9	MR. JACOBS: Your Honor, may I
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: No.
11	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I'm not finished.
12	The at the last page of the Sex Offender Risk Assessment
13	Document can you turn there?
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's Exhibit 12?
15	RESUME REDIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
17	Q Can you turn there? The registry. I'm sorry, the
18	registry. It's not you brought the copy along with you.
19	The one that had the Corvette in it?
20	A Yes, sir.
21	Q Okay, I'd like to draw your attention to the very
22	last paragraph.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: What page are you on?
24	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Twenty-four but I it's
25	not a numbered page, Your Honor. I'm sorry. They it's

1	the there are some parole reports behind the Corvette.
2	Do you understand what I'm saying, Tammie?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
4	RESUME REDIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT:
6	Q Okay, do you see the paragraph that begins because
7	of the nature of his past offenses? I don't think you're at
8	the right document. The sex offender registry that you
9	downloaded in 2015, the very last page, very last paragraph.
10	Are you there?
11	A Yes, I I'm not for sure what you're looking at.
12	Q Basically I can read it to you. What it says is
13	because of the nature of his past offenses, this officer and
14	his therapist consider him to be a high risk to re-offend.
15	And this this officer refers to the parole officer who
16	assumed supervision of Rice in April 2000. Okay. My question
17	is, is it routine that parole officers assess the risk of
18	parolees to re-offend?
19	A On a daily basis, yes.
20	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay, that's it, Your Honor.
21	If I can't get her to the paragraph I have
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Any more on that? Do you have any
23	questions on that?
24	MR. JACOBS: Yes. Well, first on the drinking.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.

## 528 RECROSS EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. JACOBS: In your statement, Ms. Gremminger, you say that, 3 in my opinion it is concerning that Mr. Rice admitted to Dr. Duncan Hively during her examination of him that he has continued to drink, quote-unquote, continued to drink. what did you mean by that? Continued to consume alcohol. 8 Α With what frequency? 9 10 JUDGE SIPPEL: We've already covered this. 11 MR. JACOBS: My point is, Your Honor, that Ms. Gremminger has used language which, in common parlance, means 12 not a drink once a week or once a month, but maybe once a day. 13 14 JUDGE SIPPEL: I asked her --- don't you remember, I asked her that line of questioning? 15 MR. JACOBS: Yes, but my point is that there is ---16 it is not factually accurate that Mr. Rice drinks on a daily 17 basis. What you're ---18 Well, if she says that 19 JUDGE SIPPEL: certainly is going to have the same answer with respect to if 20

certainly is going to have the same answer with respect to if
he drinks ten cases of beer a day or, let's say, a six pack
a day. It's going to be the same answer. If she's concerned
about one glass of wine at dinner, she's certainly going to
be concerned about more than that.

MR. JACOBS: What about one glass of wine a month?

25

1		JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, you can ask the question.
2		MR. JACOBS: Well
3		JUDGE SIPPEL: Ask the question.
4	RECROSS EXA	AMINATION
5		BY MR. JACOBS:
6	Q	What about one glass of wine a month?
7	A	Still concerned.
8	Q	As to the last reference by Mr. Knowles-Kellett to
9	a probation	report, I would like to draw the Court's attention
10	to the fact	t that that report is dated June 3, 2000.
11		JUDGE SIPPEL: I've got it.
12		MR. JACOBS: And Lake Broadcasting objects to the
13	use of busi	ness records in this way to try to testify that Mr.
14	Rice is lil	kely to re-offend, et cetera, et cetera.
15		JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, it goes to the weight of that
16	I mean,	the date goes to the weight of it. It's it's
17	in, it's i	ı.
18		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: It's a public record, Your
19	Honor.	
20		JUDGE SIPPEL: Well even yes why are you
21	arguing wit	th me, if I'm giving it to you?
22		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Thank you.
23		JUDGE SIPPEL: Are we going to move this in?
24		MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I already moved it.
25		JUDGE SIPPEL: You did? Is that right?

1	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Yes, and he checked with Mr.
2	Rice and it's in.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. I got it. All right. Never
4	mind, Never mind. Anything more?
5	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I'm finished.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
7	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Thank you, Ms. Gremminger.
8	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you very much. This has been
10	very difficult.
11	(Laughter.)
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Very, very difficult. I don't know
13	how we are ever going to handle net neutrality if we can't get
14	on a telephone and talk to people, even if there is a TV set.
15	What before you go I I'm concerned about this Missy
16	Cruse.
17	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: We don't intend to call Missy
18	Cruse. The facts of his parole to
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, let me stop you right
20	there.
21	MS. KANE: Just want to make sure that the Witness
22	is dismissed, Your Honor.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, you're dismissed, ma'am.
24	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Thank you.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: You're dismissed. Thank you very

1	much. We won't be calling you again, I don't think.
2	(Laughter.)
3	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay. The public records
4	exception, Your Honor exception indicates that the best
5	when they have 2,000 parolees, they have to go back over
6	their records. They can testify from the records, okay? Her
7	she's what she's doing is applying the Missouri
8	standards to what's going on in Mr. Rice and telling you her
9	risk assessment. That's what we're entering into evidence,
10	Your Honor.
11	The facts regarding his parole period are
12	sufficiently covered for our purposes in EB Exhibit 4. We
13	don't need Missy Cruse to talk about what happened on parole,
14	okay? That's not what the big issue is. The big issue is his
15	rehabilitation. And Missy Cruse, her supervision of him
16	stopped in 2002. We don't need her any more than we Ms.
17	Gremminger is adequate from the Bureau. They have the burden,
18	I think, with we don't have to go there.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I mean I'm just I'm
20	just amazed by this. And I'm going to give you my take on
21	what I heard.
22	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: Subject to reading the transcript
24	again, but I heard her say that Missy Cruse said, or she
25	observed a report came from neighbors I'm sorry that

1	there were children going in and out of the house, and this
2	was after he was released on parole.
3	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Correct. That is
4	actually one of these parole reports at the back of this thing
5	says that. They it's the same thing that she said. They
6	but that doesn't make it you know, just because
7	somebody said a kid came in and out of the house, unless
8	somebody caught Mr. Rice doing it, it's not a factor in this
9	case.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, somebody apparently did catch
11	there's a neighbor who
12	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I agree, Your Honor, there
13	were reports and that's what we know about it. That's all
14	that we know about it. We don't know that there was any
15	follow-up. We've asked.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Wait
17	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: If you want us to ask her,
18	to ask Missy Cruse
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, no, no. (Laughs.) If I if
20	I want to get anything from Missy Cruse, I want Missy Cruse.
21	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Well
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Don't worry. That that's okay.
23	Now show me where you have this reference to what I'm talking
24	about in the documents. Oh, here we go. All right.
25	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: She found it?

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, Rachel found it for me.
2	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Same document. Same, so June 3,
4	2000. This conducted home visits and discovered that a
5	young man's vehicle had been parked in the driveway. This
6	officer has not confronted him on this issue yet. This
7	officer has some concerns that the young man could have been
8	a victim in the past, but is now an adult. This situation
9	will be discussed with him and if need be, a follow-up
10	polygraph will be required.
11	All right, well that only goes to a that does
12	not that does not match the report that was called in from
13	a neighbor. And I got the impression there was more than one.
14	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I can't tell you they're the
15	same or separate, Your Honor.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I can figure it out just from
17	what the way this is this is a young man who is now
18	an adult and he had been there before she thinks, or he
19	thinks. I'm not talking about that.
20	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: But anyway, I see what you're
22	saying. You're saying that as long as the local law has been
23	complied with, that's that's the beginning and end of the
24	facts.
25	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Correct.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
2	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: It, you know, it gives us
3	notice of concern. Do we have a smoking gun? No.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. I don't want to seem like I'm
5	more concerned about prosecution than I am defense, so I'll
6	let that go. I'm just scratching my head a little bit. What
7	else do we have today?
8	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: That can we go off the
9	record?
10	MS. KANE: Bill, you didn't submit your evidence
11	of the
12	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Oh, yes. Thank you.
13	MS. KANE: Sure.
14	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: The document this morning
15	that you asked you wanted in the record, Your Honor.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's right.
17	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Where are the copies?
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, so that's going to be 11, is
19	that correct?
20	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: This is yes. She already
21	marked it 11.
22	MS. FUNK: I marked the one that didn't have all
23	the pages.
24	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay, can you re-mark it?
25	MS FIINK. Ves

1	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Thank you. We got we
2	ended up with a three-page exhibit
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, that's all right.
4	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: And a four-page original,
5	Your Honor.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: I appreciate that very much. Okay.
7	And this I can write on this as Exhibit 11?
8	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Correct.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: I've got two of them. I don't need
10	two. Okay, and it's been this has been offered
11	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Offered.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: As EB Exhibit Number 11?
13	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Yes.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: It's marked for identification.
15	(Whereupon, the above-referred to document was
16	marked as Enforcement Bureau Exhibit No. 11 for
17	identification.)
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Any objection, Mr. Jacobs?
19	MR. JACOBS: No.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: It's received into evidence as
21	Enforcement Bureau Exhibit Number 11.
22	(Whereupon, the above-referred to document was
23	received into evidence as Enforcement Bureau
24	Exhibit No. 11.)
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.

1	MR. JACOBS: And the sex offender document is 12?
2	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Correct.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's exactly right.
4	MR. JACOBS: On that, Your Honor, I notice that
5	there is a page which has a a partial answer to the
6	question that had been raised about Mr. Rice's second
7	polygraph test. I don't know
8	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I think the record speaks for
9	itself, Your Honor. He can point you to the page.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: I know, I
11	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I don't think he should
12	testify on the record.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: No. Do you have do you have a
14	specific request or a specific question?
15	MR. JACOBS: Well, I'd like official notice taken
16	of this paragraph. Three pages from the end of Exhibit 12.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well that would be redundant because
18	it's already in evidence as Exhibit 12.
19	MR. JACOBS: Well
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. You don't want it to come in
21	on the evidence of being an official record, then it's going
22	to be two. A twofer, or something like that.
23	MR. JACOBS: Well
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: We only need one.
25	MR. JACOBS: It

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Go on.
2	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: He can draw your attention
3	to it, Your Honor.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, I
5	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Thank you.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: You've won. You've won.
7	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: What I'm going well, okay, so
9	what are we doing tomorrow now?
10	MR. JACOBS: Well, before we get to that, we have
11	the objections to all of the Bureau's exhibits which you
12	haven't ruled on.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's right.
14	MR. OSHINSLY: Actually, that's not correct, Your
15	Honor. Your Honor, you moved Exhibit 4 in.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: I did. Oh, yes. Yes. I recall
17	that now. There was something yes.
18	MR. JACOBS: And we have the motion in limine to
19	disqualify Gremminger.
20	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay, with it we have a
21	written opposition to his motion in limine.
22	MR. JACOBS: Sure.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you. Thank you. I'll talk
24	about this tomorrow.

Thank you.

MR. JACOBS:

25

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: But this is how Mr Mr. Knowles-
2	Kellett is making at his case. He's saying that Gremminger
3	has established herself as a an expert witness for
4	purposes of basically keeping track of previous sex offenders.
5	Why am I not on the record? Can you go back on the record?
6	COURT REPORTER: Were we off the record?
7	MS. FUNK: Oh, I thought okay.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: We were on.
9	COURT REPORTER: Yes, I don't think we were off.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, okay.
11	COURT REPORTER: Okay.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm paraphrasing what I'm hearing,
13	that Ms. Gremminger is only offered for the purpose of
14	establishing herself as a an expert sex offender follow-
15	upper or whatever that might be.
16	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Supervisor, yes.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Supervisor.
18	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: And risk assessor.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: And a risk assessor. Thank you.
20	And so that's as far as that's as far as it goes.
21	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I think, Your Honor, by the
22	time you hear that she has more than 20 years of doing that,
23	she meets the standards in the rule of evidence to come in as
24	an expert opinion. And after that it all goes to her weight.

And you have the ability to appropriately weigh her evidence.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, thank you. I don't see anything
2	more to bother with then. I mean, I've got to rule as to
3	whether or not she's she qualifies as an expert. I don't
4	have to do that here. I mean her her testimony is in the
5	record whether or not she qualifies as an expert or not.
6	MR. JACOBS: We have the whole kerfuffle about the
7	witness intimidation, which I think seriously challenges her
8	credibility.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, you can you can write your
10	brief that way. Whether there's enough information on the
11	record, I'm satisfied that if it goes up if the case goes
12	up on appeal to the Commission and/or above that there's going
13	to be something on the record for me to make a decision on.
14	That's all. That's the only thing I'm concerned about.
15	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Credibility I don't think
16	goes to expertise, Your Honor.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I'm not going to get that far.
18	But the point is, it's more important what you're offering her
19	for.
20	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Correct.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Then, the more narrow your offering
22	is, the less I have to worry about. That's all I'm saying.
23	But I don't know which way I'm going to rule on this yet.
24	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: And that's all I don't think

1	there's anything more to talk about. We're beating a dead
2	horse.
3	MR. JACOBS: We also have the objections to the
4	various passages in the Bureau's direct case exhibits. If you
5	have those
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Is this the is this the in
7	limine?
8	MR. JACOBS: No.
9	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: The passages, though, were
10	objected to on the basis that they referred to hearsay in a
11	document that you admitted. So I think those objections are
12	all moot, Your Honor.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well if if I've already ruled
14	on it, there's nothing more to talk about. If I haven't ruled
15	on it, I'd like to know about it.
16	MR. JACOBS: Well, I don't think you've ruled on
17	it. I hear what Mr. Knowles-Kellett is saying, which is kind
18	of working into it backwards. I mean, one would have to see
19	on what basis has Exhibit 4 been admitted into evidence?
20	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay, also Your Honor
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: I take evidence whether it comes in
22	backwards or forwards.
23	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: The rule under 703 is that
24	they can look at hearsay documents as long as they're of the
25	type an expert would work at. And he didn't even allege that

1	these aren't the type. And in fact, Dr. Duncan-Hively relied
2	on them, testified that they were the basis of her report
3	these very same documents. For him to object to testimony
4	relating to the same documents that provided the and she,
5	in her direct testimony
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Dr. Duncan?
7	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Dr. Duncan-Hively indicates
8	that her ethical standards require her to look at all
9	historical documents. And so my my experts would have
LO	been remiss in not doing so. And to object on that basis
L1	seems absurd.
L2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well they are business records and
L3	they're reliable for that reason. They're reliable business
L4	records. The only argument is whether or not you have
L5	relevance.
L6	MR. JACOBS: Well, I I go back to our basic
L7	argument that the hearing designation order prohibited the re-
L8	litigation of any facts or findings from the previous state
L9	criminal proceedings.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, if that's what we did, it's
21	over and done with. So I can be chastised for in
22	violation of the hearing designation order. I don't think so.
23	MR. JACOBS: I think that the testimony today
24	or yesterday made it very clear that Mr. Rice was convicted

25

on a stipulated set of facts.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: We know what that is. I was here.
2	I heard it too.
3	MR. JACOBS: Not those documents.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Let's not go what?
5	MR. JACOBS: Not the documents in Exhibit 4. They
6	were not part of his criminal case.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well
8	MR. OSHINSKY: How do you know that?
9	MR. JACOBS: Because the case was decided on a
LO	stipulated set of facts.
L1	MR. OSHINSKY: Well what led to that stipulation
L2	of facts?
L3	MR. JACOBS: The decision is a written document
L4	which lists the stipulated facts.
L5	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: This is revisiting your
L6	ruling on Exhibit 4, Your Honor. Those documents are in. His
L7	expert relied on them for her risk assessment. Our expert
L8	relied on them for our risk assessment. I don't think arguing
L9	that paragraphs in our expert's testimony should be struck
20	because they looked at those documents. Should that's
21	been ruled on, Your Honor.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Is that what you are getting at?
23	You're you rely on that are you referencing that
24	deleted material the redacted material that was attached
25	to the stipulation that they used in the court in Missouri?

1	MR. JACOBS: That document is part of the
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: You're I got the right document,
3	right? Let's agree with that.
4	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: No. No, Your Honor, his
5	objection is not based on that document.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: He just said it was.
7	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: That document he put into
8	evidence without any objection.
9	MR. JACOBS: Well, no it supports our case. That
10	is the state court decision.
11	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Is that the document you're
12	claiming our experts relied on, and you want to strike the
13	paragraphs
14	MR. JACOBS: Just the opposite.
15	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Right, that's what
16	MR. JACOBS: They want to rely on things which are
17	not part of the state court decision.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: I mean, I understand this. This is
19	not a hard thing to understand. But the point is that Mr.
20	Rice, in an attachment to that statement to that
21	stipulation, rather, says that none of the he doesn't
22	consider any of the facts therein true. He just wants to
23	accommodate the state so he can leave town earlier in his
24	Porsche or something. This is I mean, this is an this
25	business of stipulated facts is an accommodation. So I guess

1	I'm I don't see any point in this. It is what it is.
2	MR. JACOBS: From Lake Broadcasting's point of
3	view, this hearing has turned out to be very different from
4	what it thought ab initio the hearing designation order was
5	talking about. If we review the totality of the evidence
6	presented, 80 percent, 90 percent relate to Mr. Rice's alleged
7	misconduct in 1990 or thereabouts.
8	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Your Honor, there's no
9	alleged misconduct
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, let him finish.
11	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay.
12	MR. JACOBS: I'll strike the word alleged. His
13	misconduct in 1990. The purpose of this hearing is to
14	determine whether he has been rehabilitated. And there's
15	hardly any discussion by anybody about that. About what
16	happened during the all of the years from 2002 to the
17	present day, about the absence of any criminal allegations or
18	proof. Everyone wants to harp about what he did in 1990 and
19	and before.
20	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Your Honor.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Wait just a minute.
22	MR. JACOBS: And the question is how does that get
23	into rehabilitation. Now, it's very simple to say what is past

is prologue. Or, as Dr. Weitl will testify tomorrow, once a

pedophile, always a pedophile. Well gee whiz, if that's the

24

25

1	standard in this case, there's no need for a hearing.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: It would make good bumper stickers
3	though, huh?
4	(Laughter.)
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: They listen, I want to be clear
6	about this. You have the burden of proof.
7	MR. JACOBS: Right.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: So you have the burden of proving
9	rehabilitation
10	MR. JACOBS: Right.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Or the unlikelihood of repetition
12	recidivism, or whatever they call it. Is that right?
13	MR. JACOBS: Reoffending.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Exactly right. That's where the
15	burden lies.
16	MR. JACOBS: Right.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: What the Bureau does is none of your
18	concern, unless they're bringing in false evidence, of course.
19	But if the if the Bureau is falling down on their part on
20	that issue, that's not your problem. So I don't understand
21	what this is all about. That's what I'm getting at.
22	MR. JACOBS: Well it's just very disconcerting.
23	We would feel that the playing field were a little bit more
24	even if we had questions about Mr. Rice's rehabilitation
25	activities, not what happened 25 years ago.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well we spent an awful lot of time
2	on that with Dr. Duncan. She's had 84 office visits. I mean,
3	that would be the down payment on a house would pay for that.
4	MR. JACOBS: Yes.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: So I think he's I don't I
6	mean, I think there's been some tangible efforts made in that
7	in that regard. But I don't understand why that
8	doesn't affect you because you're the one who has to prove the
9	affirmative of proving the likelihood that he's not going to
10	do it again. So
11	MR. JACOBS: If the forum keeps on focusing on what
12	happened in 1990 and earlier, we're not going to be able to
13	prove it.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, you're proving the negative.
15	It's not going to happen again. I think Dr. Duncan's evident
16	testimony was quite clear on that. So I don't know what more
17	what more opportunity you want.
18	MR. JACOBS: Well, then I then I feel very calm
19	and happy.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, don't get too comfortable.
21	But I'm just simply saying that the record is what the record
22	is. And I'm giving you the opportunity to prove any way to
23	Sunday that you can the unlikelihood of repetition or
24	recidivism or any one of those words. You know what I'm
25	talking about.

1	So if your case is closed, your case is closed.
2	MR. JACOBS: Well, we'll hear Dr. Weitl tomorrow.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, that's right. And you gave
4	me homework tonight and we'll get to that also. Can we start
5	now, what about tomorrow? Can we start like at 10:00?
6	Or what do you think? I'm looking at Mr. Knowles-Kellett.
7	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Ten o'clock works for us,
8	Your Honor.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, but I'm saying
10	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: In terms of getting finished,
11	it's really his cross, his rebuttal that we're dealing with.
12	And so you you know, we don't expect very many questions
13	before we tender the witness.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Does everybody agree with 10:00?
15	Okay. Don't bring your fishing poles with you. Okay, miss
16	I'm sorry, I don't you're all right with all this now?
17	I know what you don't like, but I mean basically is this okay?
18	We can get our work done today, can we come back tomorrow?
19	MR. JACOBS: Yes.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, Mr. Jacobs. Anybody else have
21	anything more?
22	We're in recess. Go off the record. Thank you,
23	thank you, thank you.
24	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
25	record at 4:01 p.m.)

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Patrick Sullivan and Lake Broadcasting

Before: Federal Communications Commission

Date: 05-04-17

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &